```
L3HHRAM1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              15 CR 379 (PKC)
                 V.
5
      GEOVANNY FUENTES RAMIREZ,
6
                     Defendant.
                                              Trial
 7
            -----x
 8
                                               New York, N.Y.
                                               March 17, 2021
9
                                               10:35 a.m.
     Before:
10
                           HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL,
11
                                               District Judge
12
                                               and a jury
13
                                APPEARANCES
14
     AUDREY STRAUSS,
           United States Attorney for the
15
           Southern District of New York
     MICHAEL LOCKARD
     JACOB GUTWILLIG
16
           Assistant United States Attorneys
17
     AVRAHAM CHAIM MOSKOWITZ
18
     EYLAN SCHULMAN
           Attorneys for Defendant
19
     Also Present:
20
      Jill Hoskins, Interpreter (Spanish)
21
      Sonia Berah, Interpreter (Spanish)
     Mercedes Avalos, USAO Interpreter (Spanish)
22
     Walter Krochtal, USAO Interpreter (Spanish)
     Brian Fairbanks, DEA Agent
23
24
25
```

L3HHRAM1 Sanchez - Cross

1 (Trial resumed)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

THE COURT: We're ready. Mr. Moskowitz, you can take the podium, please.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Very good to see you. We're back in action, and the Court reminds the witness that you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: OK.

THE COURT: You may inquire, Mr. Moskowitz.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

- JOSE SANCHEZ, resumed.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
- 14 Q. Good morning, sir. You told us yesterday that you heard a
- 15 | telephone conversation involving Mr. Fuentes in which he
- 16 mentioned the Cachiros, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. You don't know who he was talking to, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You heard him say he was going to get back on his feet
- 21 again because he was going to be working with the Cachiros,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. After that telephone call, Mr. Fuentes came to Graneros
- 25 | with Isidro Santos, correct?

Correct. 1 Α.

L3HHRAM1

- And Isidro Santos, you told us, was the youngest Cachiro 2
- 3 brother, correct?
- 4 Correct. Α.
- 5 Q. And he used -- I'm sorry. And he used to come to Graneros
- 6 to sell rice, correct?
- 7 He only did that one time, the sale of rice.
- And one time he came to sell cattle, correct? 8
- 9 Correct. Α.
- 10 And Mr. Fuentes came with him, correct?
- 11 Α. That's correct.
- 12 And you also know that Mr. Fuentes got a piece of property
- 13 from the Cachiros, correct?
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 Q. Did you know that Mr. Fuentes had a malanga project?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And that's a type of vegetation, correct? Q.
- 18 Α. That's correct.
- 19 And he was -- I'm sorry. Q.
- 20 That's correct. Α.
- 21 And he was growing malanga, correct?
- 22 Α. That's correct.
- 23 Did you know that that was on property that he had gotten
- 24 from the Cachiros?
- 25 Α. No.

- 1 Q. You told us yesterday that you were at a meeting in which
- 2 you saw Mr. Fuentes talking -- excuse me, talking with Juan
- 3 Orlando Hernandez. Remember that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. In fact, you said there were two such meetings, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. And in the first meeting, you told us Mr. Juan Orlando
- 8 Hernandez said to Mr. Fuentes that he was going to give
- 9 Mr. Fuentes the phone number of Tony Hernandez, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. And you claimed that you actually saw Juan Orlando
- 12 Hernandez give the phone number to Mr. Fuentes, correct?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. You did not see that?
- 15 A. I didn't see him giving him the phone number. I heard when
- 16 he said that he was going to give it to him.
- 17 | Q. But after he said he was going to give it to him, you did
- 18 | not see him pass him the piece of paper with the phone number,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. And you did not hear Juan Orlando Hernandez tell
- 22 Mr. Fuentes what Tony's phone number was, correct?
- 23 | A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. Now, you also told us yesterday that the last time you saw
- 25 Mr. Fuentes was in around Easter week of 2015, correct?

L3HHRAM1 Sanchez - Cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. You didn't actually talk to him on that occasion, correct?
- 3 THE INTERPRETER: Repeat the question for the
- 4 | interpreter.
- 5 Q. You did not actually talk to him on that occasion, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. You were at a marina, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You saw him with other people, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Getting on a yacht, correct?
- 12 THE INTERPRETER: For the interpreter, the question
- 13 again.
- 14 Q. Getting on a yacht, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you told the prosecutors yesterday that it was his
- 17 | yacht, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You didn't have -- you didn't check the papers on the
- 20 | yacht, did you?
- 21 | A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. You have no personal proof that Mr. Fuentes bought that
- 23 | yacht, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Now, you told us that at some point in time you found a box

- 1 | in the office of your boss, Fuad Jarufe, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. And that box was not addressed to you, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. It was not a box that came from paper store, correct?
- 6 THE INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question.
- 7 Q. It was not a box that came from a paper store?
- 8 A. That's how they would send stationery supplies to me when I
- 9 bought them, in boxes.
- 10 | Q. The box, the box itself, did not indicate it was from a
- 11 | stationery store, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. There was nothing on it that said it was addressed to you,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. Correct. It was sealed.
- 16 | Q. But you decided to open it anyway?
- 17 \parallel A. I took it -- I took it to my office, and I --
- 18 | Q. And nobody else --
- 19 A. I took it to my office and I opened it because I needed the
- 20 stationery supplies.
- 21 | Q. And nobody else was around when you opened the box?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. It was at night?
- 24 A. It was around 6:00 in the evening.
- 25 | Q. The other employees had gone home?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. You claimed that you saw some uniforms in there, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And a note that said it was for Mr. Fuentes, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. You didn't take a picture of the box, correct?
- 7 A. No, because there were cameras in my office.
- 8 | Q. The cameras could see you opening the box, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. The cameras could see you looking at what's in the box
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. The cameras could see the uniforms in the box, correct?
- 14 A. No, because I covered the box.
- 15 | Q. You covered the box intentionally so that the cameras
- 16 | wouldn't see what was in the box?
- 17 \parallel A. No. The position the box had on the -- on the chair to my
- 18 desk and my position to take out the stationery supplies
- 19 covered the line of view of the camera. Plus to avoid
- 20 reprisals, I had to put the box back where it had come from.
- 21 | Q. There was nobody else around, correct?
- 22 A. Only my boss was in his office, but he was in the bathroom
- 23 | at that moment.
- 24 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, may the interpreter
- 25 confer with his colleague?

- 1 THE COURT: He may.
- THE INTERPRETER: Thank you. Interpreter wishes to
- 3 add to response.
- 4 A. I had to put the box where no one would see it.
- 5 THE INTERPRETER: Correction. "I had to put the box 6 where no one would see me." Thank you.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. You may continue.
- 8 BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
- 9 Q. When you took the box, you didn't know it had uniforms in
- 10 | it, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. You thought it had stationery, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So when you took it back to your office to open it, you
- weren't thinking, oh, I have to open it in such a way that the
- 16 cameras won't see me, correct?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. You just thought you were opening up a box of stationery?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So when you opened the box, you weren't doing anything to
- 21 | hide what you were doing from the cameras?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And by the way, when you went later, at some later point in
- 24 | time to look at the videos, did you look for the video of this
- 25 | incident?

1 Α. No.

- You didn't make a copy of the video showing you opening a 2
- 3 box of uniforms, correct?
- Correct. 4 Α.

L3HHRAM1

- 5 Q. Now, you told us after you opened the box, you sealed it
- back up? 6
- 7 Α. Correct.
- 8 Now, when you say the box came sealed, it was taped up?
- 9 See-through. Α.
- 10 With tape? Q.
- 11 Α. Tape.
- 12 You have to say it -- you have to answer in words, sir.
- 13 Α. Yes, transparent tape.
- And you have to rip the tape off, correct? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And that's what you did, right? You took the tape off, 16
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 And then you had to reseal the box with the same type of
- 20 tape, correct?
- 21 I had that tape in my desk. Α.
- 22 Now, a box with -- coming with stationery is heavy, right?
- 23 Correct. Α.
- 24 And it's sealed with heavy tape, correct? 0.
- 25 They just put see-through tape on it, that's all. Α. No.

- 1 | Q. Well, it wasn't Scotch tape, right?
- 2 | A. No.
- Q. It was what we call here in the United States masking tape,
- 4 | correct?
- 5 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to ask you to rephrase
- 6 that question. Describe the kind of tape you're referring to,
- 7 | sir. Solid, opaque, beige?
- 8 MR. MOSKOWITZ: The witness has described it as clear,
- 9 | but it's a heavy -- it's a wide tape, correct?
- 10 | THE COURT: That's not masking tape, sir.
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: I'm sorry.
- 12 | THE COURT: That's not masking tape at all. Go to a
- 13 | hardware store, and you'll see.
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Judge, I thought I was describing what
- 15 || it was, but I'll try it in words.
- 16 BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
- 17 | Q. Sir, the tape was a thick tape -- I should say a wide tape,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. So that it would cover both sides of the box as it was
- 21 | being sealed, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And you said it was transparent so that you could see
- 24 | through it, correct?
- 25 \parallel A. The box was not transparent.

4

5

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

25

- Q. The tape was transparent, correct, so that you could see through the tape to the top of the box?
 - THE INTERPRETER: The response -- the interpreter requests repetition of the witness' response.
 - A. What did you ask?
- Q. So that when the tape was on the box, you could see through the tape and see the top of the box?
 - A. No. As far as I understand, what you're telling me is that I could see through the tape and see what was in the box.
 - Q. No, that's not what I'm trying to say.
- 11 | A. OK.
- Q. You could see through the tape and see the top of where it was closed?
 - THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, once again on behalf of the interpreting team, the interpreters are again not having the opportunity to render the utterances, the interpretations, on a couple of occasions now.
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: I'm sorry, Judge. I'm trying.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- Q. You could see through the tape to the top of the box that was closed, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Did you rip off the tape or did you cut the tape?
- 24 | A. I cut it.
 - Q. And when you resealed the boxes, it's your testimony that

- 1 | you couldn't tell that it had been opened already?
- 2 A. Yes, you could tell.
- 3 Q. So you took this box that you resealed and you snuck it
- 4 | back into Mr. Jarufe's office?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Did you wait for him to leave?
- 7 A. No, he was in the bathroom at that moment.
- 8 | Q. So he was in the bathroom when you picked it up, and he was
- 9 | in the bathroom when you brought it back?
- 10 | A. He was outside in the patio when I took it.
- 11 | Q. And he didn't hear you come into his office as far as you
- 12 | know? I'll repeat it.
- As far as you know, he did not hear you come into the
- 14 office?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. So you didn't go in and ask him: Mr. Jarufe, did you get a
- 17 box of stationery for me today?
- 18 THE INTERPRETER: For the interpreter, please repeat
- 19 | the question.
- 20 Q. So you did not ask Mr. Jarufe whether he had gotten a box
- 21 of stationery that you were looking for?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. I want to switch topics, sir.
- 24 You came to the United States for the first time in
- 25 | 2012, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. And you came here legally, correct, on a visa?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And you overstayed your visa, correct?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Well, do you remember telling the FBI when you met with
- 7 | them in 2019 that when you came here in 2012, you overstayed
- 8 | your visa?
- 9 A. No. I came to the United States three times -- four times
- 10 before staying here.
- 11 | Q. I'm going to ask the -- with the help of the interpreter to
- 12 show you 3536-01. Ask the interpreter to read the second
- 13 paragraph on the first page.
- 14 You know what? Withdrawn. I'll leave it alone.
- 15 After being here in 2012, you came back to the United
- 16 | States in October of 2013, is that correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Now, October of 2013 was after you had attended a meeting
- 19 or been in a meeting with Mr. Fuentes and Juan Orlando
- 20 | Hernandez, is that correct?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Well, remember --
- 23 | A. I have not provided dates of the meetings between the
- 24 defendant and Mr. Juan Orlando Hernandez because I don't
- 25 remember them.

8

Sanchez - Cross

- Q. Remember telling us yesterday that the first meeting between Mr. Fuentes and Juan Orlando Hernandez was sometime
- 4 A. You said that. I didn't say that.

after March of 2013?

- Q. Remember telling us that there were several months in between the first and the second meeting?
- 7 A. Correct.
 - Q. And both meetings took place before the election, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | 0. And the election was in November of 2013?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. So the two meetings that you claim that you attended with
- Mr. Fuentes were before you went to the United States, correct?
- 14 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction.
- 15 | A. Correct.
- Q. So before you came to the -- I'll go back to the beginning of these questions.
- Before you came to the United States, you had already
 attended a meeting where you claim that you heard Juan Orlando
 Hernandez and Mr. Fuentes talking about drug trafficking,
- 21 | correct?
- A. I don't recall. I was coming to the United States only for ten days.
- Q. Mr. Suarez, you came to the United States October 14, 2014, correct?

- 1 A. Correct, I came for ten days.
- 2 Q. And you just told us a minute ago that the two meetings
- 3 | with Mr. Fuentes and the president, or the now president, were
- 4 | before you came to the United States in October of 2013,
- 5 | correct?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So the meetings took place after you came back from the
- 8 United States and before the election?
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: Repeat the question for the
- 10 | interpreter, please.
- 11 | Q. Is it your testimony today, sir, that the meetings, the two
- 12 | meetings between Mr. Fuentes and Juan Orlando Hernandez, took
- 13 place in the period between the time you returned from the
- 14 United States in late October 2013 and the election in Honduras
- 15 | in November 2013?
- 16 | THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter's going to correct.
- 17 The interpreter's going to correct the question.
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 Q. How much time was there between the first meeting that you
- 20 saw with Mr. Fuentes and Juan Orlando Hernandez and the second
- 21 | meeting?
- 22 A. I don't recall.
- 23 Q. Do you remember telling us just a few minutes ago that it
- 24 was several months?
- 25 THE INTERPRETER: Repeat the question for the

- 1 interpreter, please.
- 2 Q. Do you remember telling us just several minutes ago that
- 3 | there were several months in between the two meetings?
- 4 A. Yes, but I don't recall the dates.
- 5 Q. But if there were -- let's assume for a moment that the
- 6 second meeting took place when you came back after the
- 7 | election -- I mean after coming to the United States. All
- 8 | right. So that would be in late October 2013. OK. Then the
- 9 | first meeting had to be several months before that in
- 10 | September --
- 11 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 12 | Q. -- August, or earlier?
- 13 THE COURT: Yes, sustained as to form.
- 14 Q. Sir, you told us both meetings took place before the
- 15 | election in November 2013, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And you told us there were several months in between them,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. So at least one of the meetings had to have taken place
- 21 | before you left to the United States, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And you told us the first meeting that you heard between
- 24 | Juan Orlando Hernandez and Mr. Fuentes was the meeting where
- 25 you heard them discussing doing narco-trafficking together,

L3HHRAM1 Sanchez - Cross

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And you told us that was the meeting that really scared
- 4 | you, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. But when you went to the United States in October of 2013,
- 7 | you didn't seek asylum?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Even though you were scared for your life, you came back to
- 10 | Honduras, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. And you remained in Honduras for another almost two years
- 13 before going to the United States again, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. And you came to the United States in June of 2015, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. With your family, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And that time you overstayed your visa, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. And you didn't apply for asylum for another four years,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 | A. I appeared in the Chicago courts. I spoke to an assistant
- 24 prosecutor, and I told her what I knew. And she told me it is
- 25 your word against the president's, and she told me you have to

- 1 go back to Honduras and get more evidence, which I intended to
- 2 do. But I had a call from Honduras, and I was told that
- 3 Christian Ayala had been raided with bullets and for me to be
- 4 careful because they were also seeking after me. And that is
- 5 | the reason why I did not go back to Honduras.
- 6 Q. And you did not apply for asylum for another four years,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Correct. No one wanted to take my case because it was so
- 9 sensitive.
- 10 | Q. You applied for asylum after hearing about Tony Hernandez
- 11 getting arrested, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Now, it is your hope that by testifying here in this
- 14 courthouse and in this case, you will get some help with your
- 15 | asylum application, correct?
- 16 A. I have not requested anything from the U.S. government
- 17 other than security.
- 18 | Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that your lawyer on your behalf asked
- 19 | the United States government to help pay your legal fees for
- 20 your immigration case?
- 21 A. No, I requested that.
- 22 | Q. You asked the prosecutors to pay your legal fees in your
- 23 | immigration case?
- 24 A. No, I paid for those out of my work.
- 25 | Q. No, I understand you did pay for them, but you asked the

- 1 government to help you with them, correct?
- 2 A. No. Communications were had through my lawyer, and I told
- 3 | them that I did not have money to pay my lawyer so they could
- 4 communicate with me. So my lawyer --
- THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter confer with her
- 6 | colleague?
- 7 THE COURT: You may.
- A. So my lawyer had a discussion with the prosecutors, and now he is not charging a single dime.
- 10 Q. My question to you, sir, is on your behalf did your lawyer
- 11 | ask the government to reimburse you for at least part of your
- 12 | fees in the immigration case?
- 13 | A. No.
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Can I have the witness shown 3536-08,
- 15 | and I'd ask the interpreter just to read to him the first
- 16 paragraph, first bullet point.
- 17 THE INTERPRETER: Ready, counselor.
- 18 BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
- 19 Q. Mr. Suarez, you met with the government on February 22,
- 20 | 2021, correct?
- 21 | A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. With Mr. Gutwillig and Mr. Lockard?
- 23 A. I do not recall. I do not quite recall.
- 24 | Q. You met with the two gentlemen sitting at the table?
- 25 A. It was with Matthew, and I do not remember the last name.

- 1 | Q. And Agent Fairbanks sitting in the back was there?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. And your lawyer was present?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 | Q. And there was an interpreter present?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. When you met with the government, didn't you have an interpreter with you to help the government understand what you
- 9 were saying?
- 10 A. That's correct, yes.
- 11 Q. Having had the paragraph read to you, do you remember that
- 12 | when you -- do you now remember that when you met with the
- 13 government in February of 2021, just last month, that your
- 14 | lawyer in your presence asked the government to reimburse you
- 15 | for part of the fees that you have paid for your immigration
- 16 | asylum case?
- 17 | A. I ignored that, I can honestly tell you that. I was
- 18 | sincerely unaware of that. All I can say is that all I was
- 19 | asking was for them to cover my stay here and provide me with
- 20 protection and also perhaps for the days that I was out of work
- 21 so that I'd be able to pay rent. That is all that I asked for,
- 22 | which I do believe is fair for being here risking my life.
- 23 | Q. But your lawyer did make the request, isn't that true?
- 24 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Yes, the question is do you remember

L3HHRAM1 Sanchez - Cross

- 1 whether your lawyer.
- 2 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Correct.
- 3 | Q. Do you remember whether your lawyer made the request?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Can I have one moment, Judge?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.
- 7 (Counsel confer)
- 8 BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
- 9 Q. Now, in connection with your asylum application, you had to
- 10 prepare an affidavit, correct?
- 11 A. Excuse me?
- 12 | Q. You had to prepare a statement under oath?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. You told your lawyer various facts, correct?
- 15 | A. That's correct.
- 16 | Q. And the lawyer wrote it up, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And he read it to you?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And it was translated to you into Spanish, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. And in your affidavit, you said that you feared going back
- 23 | because you would be killed by the government of Honduras,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

L3HHRAM1 Sanchez - Redirect

1 MR. MOSKOWITZ: One moment.

2 (Counsel confer)

3 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Nothing further, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Redirect? Give us a second to

5 | wipe down.

4

6

7

8

9

Ladies and gentlemen, if you want to stand up and stretch for a minute, that's fine.

All right. Whenever you're ready, Mr. Gutwillig.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 10 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 11 Q. Good morning, Mr. Sanchez.
- 12 A. Good morning.
- 13 | Q. In Honduras you were a chief accountant for Graneros,
- 14 | right?
- 15 | A. I was an accountant.
- 16 | Q. Graneros was the largest rice processing company in
- 17 | Honduras, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. You made a good living, right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. You worked for a wealthy and influential boss?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You were respected in your profession?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Were you born in Honduras?

L3HHRAM1 Sanchez - Redirect

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Did you live there for most of your life?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. What do you do for a living now?
- 5 A. I'm a carpenter.
- 6 Q. Are you making money as a carpenter while you're here in
- 7 New York testifying?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. How are you paying your rent?
- 10 A. I am behind.
- 11 | Q. How are you paying for your asylum application?
- 12 A. With my work.
- 13 | Q. Did your lawyer offer to reimburse you a portion of the fee
- 14 | that you had paid him for the asylum application?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. Has the government paid for your legal fees?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. Did the government cover the cost of your travel to
- 19 New York?
- 20 A. Only the hotel.
- 21 | Q. Is that why you're here?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 (Continued on next page)
- 24
- 25

L3HKRAM2

Sanchez - Redirect

- 1 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 2 | Q. You testified on cross-examination about coming to the
- 3 United States in 2013; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. But you didn't stay here, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. You went back to Honduras?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Why did you go back to Honduras at that time?
- 10 A. Because my family was there and because I wouldn't have
- 11 changed the life that I had in Honduras for anything.
- 12 | Q. But you did leave Honduras again, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. You left Honduras in 2015, right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. A few days after you got to the United States, did you
- 17 | receive a call from a secretary who worked at Graneros?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 | Q. What did that person tell you?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Hang on a second.
- Not for the truth of its content, but for the fact it
- 23 was said.
- 24 Go ahead.
- 25 A. She called me, and she told me that Cristian Ayalla had

been riddled with bullets and that the defendant was desperately asking about me, where I lived, and about my whereabouts. THE COURT: Let me see you at sidebar. THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, should the interpreter --THE COURT: Yes, you can interpret the remainder. A. And to destroy the cell phone that I had been provided, because through it, they could trace my location. THE COURT: Thank you. THE INTERPRETER: Thank you, Judge. (Continued on next page)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sanchez - Redirect

1 (At the sidebar)

THE COURT: Why shouldn't I strike this?

MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the questions on cross-examination went directly to why the defendant came to the United States.

THE COURT: I'm not talking about relevance. This is not a relevance issue.

MR. GUTWILLIG: If there is a suggestion that he is not scared, that he has not applied for asylum just because he wants to stay here and not because he doesn't have a legitimate fear, he does have a legitimate fear of the defendant, this is the basis or part of that.

THE COURT: Well, no, he may have a fear of the defendant because some crackpot called him up on the phone and said the defendant is out to get you, but it doesn't necessarily make it a legitimate fear unless it's being received for the truth of its content, and I said it's not.

MR. GUTWILLIG: It is not being received for the truth of its contents.

THE COURT: So, then, why does it have to do with a legitimate fear that the defendant is out to get him?

MR. GUTWILLIG: Because he's being called by an employee from the company that he worked at days after he left saying that the defendant is looking for you and to cut off all communication and contact so he can't find you.

Sanchez - Redirect

THE COURT: Why isn't that an out-of-court statement 1 being offered for the truth of its content that the defendant 2 3 is, in fact, looking for him? 4 MR. GUTWILLIG: The intention is to offer it for 5 context for his asylum application and not for the truth. THE COURT: Well, you keep vacillating on this. 6 7 You're saying that you're offering it because it shows that his fear of the defendant is legitimate. 8 9 MR. GUTWILLIG: He was asked a number of questions about whether he was afraid. 10 11 THE COURT: I said it's not a question of relevance. Right, you're not arguing relevance? 12 13 MR. GUTWILLIG: I take your point, your Honor. 14 reason it is being offered is to suggest to the extent there 15 was a suggestion, which I think there was, that the asylum application was not based on legitimate reasons, that he did, 16 17 in fact, have those. And I take your Honor's point that you would have those if that were being offered for the truth of 18 19 the matter, and that is not the government's intention. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. 21 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, if I may, the 22 cross-examination was not as to did he have a legitimate fear. 23 The point of the cross examination was the stated fear, was 24 that he feared being killed by the Government of Honduras.

That would be a sufficient basis for an application under any

```
Sanchez - Redirect
      L3HKRAM2
      circumstances.
 1
                THE COURT: I'm going to clear it up as best as I can.
 2
 3
      Thank you.
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sanchez - Redirect

(In open court)

THE COURT: So, ladies and gentlemen, an issue arose during cross-examination whether or not there was a basis for the witness' claim of fear on an asylum application. the question might have been phrased with regard to the fear of the Government of Honduras, but, in any event, I've allowed the testimony that you just heard on the basis that it's fair game for the government to show that the defendant did have a fear. However, I did not admit the statement for the truth of its content. To use an extreme example, a crackpot could call you up and tell you that somebody is out to get you. All right? That person may not know what they're talking about. have some motive of their own to make a statement like that. But the fact that you got such a phone call could affect your actions, your beliefs, and your response. All right? Even if the person who made the call to you had absolutely no basis for the statements they made, it still may explain why you did certain things. All right? And that's all it means to be accepted for the fact that it was said, and you may not consider it for the truth of its content.

You may continue.

BY MR. GUTWILLIG:

Q. You testified on cross-examination about going to deliver money to Cerro Negro. You didn't go past the gate; is that right?

L3HKRAM2

Sanchez - Redirect

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You didn't go into the building that looked like a coffee
- 3 processing plant, right?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. You were stopped at the gate, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 | Q. By a man who had a semiautomatic pistol?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. What was your understanding of why he didn't let you go any
- 10 farther?
- 11 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 14 | Q. Was that individual dressed like a coffee farmer?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. You testified on cross-examination that you knew that the
- 17 money you were depositing from the defendant, you were
- 18 committing money laundering; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 | Q. And that you knew you were committing a crime, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. For that to be money laundering, the money had to be from
- 23 | the illegal proceeds of something, right?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: If you know.

Sanchez - Redirect

1 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. For the interpreter, 2 your Honor? 3 THE COURT: I qualified the question with the statement: If the witness knows, if you know. 4 Can you please repeat the question? 5 6 0. Sure. 7 I said for it to be a crime of money laundering, the proceeds had to be from some sort of illegal activity, right? 8 9 THE COURT: Now, okay, so you didn't hear what I said? 10 You didn't hear the qualification on the question, I put on the 11 question? MR. GUTWILLIG: I apologize, your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: You didn't hear it? All right, let me try 14 it again. 15 If the witness knows. You didn't hear me say that? MR. GUTWILLIG: I did hear you say that. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. You should have put the qualifier 18 in your question. 19 Now, ask it again. 20 BY MR. GUTWILLIG: 21 If you know, Mr. Sanchez, for that to have been money 22 laundering, the money must have come from something illegal, if 23 vou know? 24 A. Yes. 25 THE COURT: And, ladies and gentlemen, this witness is Sanchez - Redirect

- 1 | not a lawyer. This is what he believes. It's not an
- 2 | instruction on the law. Okay?
- 3 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 4 | Q. You testified that you were following instructions when you
- 5 | did this, right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Whose instructions did you believe you were following?
- 8 A. My boss, who was being ordered to deposit the money.
- 9 Q. Why did you feel like you needed to follow those
- 10 | instructions?

7

- 11 A. Because I was merely an employee.
- 12 | Q. And you had seen the defendant give the money to Juan
- 13 Orlando Hernandez, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. On cross-examination, defense counsel asked if you spoke
- 16 with your boss about the meetings between Juan Orlando
- 17 | Hernandez and the defendant. Do you recall that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Your boss was there for those meetings, right?
- 20 | A. Right.
- 21 | Q. Did he hear the same conversations you heard?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. If he was there, you didn't need to tell him about those
- 24 meetings, did you?
- 25 | A. Right.

Objection.

2

3

Sanchez - Redirect

- Q. And you already knew what he thought about it, right?

MR. MOSKOWITZ:

THE COURT: One second.

- 4 | Sustained.
- 5 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 6 Q. On cross-examination, defense counsel asked if you believed
- 7 | that Juan Orlando Hernandez would have you killed. Do you
- 8 remember that?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Did you believe that he could?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And you testified that he didn't threaten you, right?
- 13 | A. Right.
- 14 | Q. He was a powerful person, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Did he have to say it for you to feel threatened?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Defense counsel asked you about a box that you found at
- 19 Graneros. Do you recall that?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. You said that you wanted to avoid reprisal; is that right?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. What did you mean by that?
- 24 A. If anybody found out that I had opened the box, that I had
- 25 seen its contents, and whom it was for, reprisals would have

Sanchez - Redirect

- 1 been taken against me.
- 2 Q. After you opened the box, what was your reaction?
- 3 A. I got scared.
- 4 | Q. Why were you scared?
- 5 A. Because I was looking at police badges, vests, military
- 6 uniforms that were addressed to the defendant.
- 7 | Q. Did you want to see the things in the box?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you want to see the meetings between the defendant and
- 10 | Juan Orlando Hernandez?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you want to leave Honduras?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. Are you still scared today?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Why are you testifying?
- 17 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Rephrase your question. Sustained.
- 19 MR. GUTWILLIG: One moment, please, your Honor?
- 20 (Pause)
- 21 MR. GUTWILLIG: No further questions, your Honor.
- 22 | THE COURT: All right.
- 23 Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a ten-minute recess.
- 24 Please do not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone
- 25 else. And we'll be back in action in ten minutes. Thank you

1 very much. 2 Please wait for the jurors to clear the elevator area 3 before exiting the courtroom. I'll give you the signal when 4 you can leave. 5 (Jury not present) 6 THE COURT: Okay. We are in recess. 7 (Recess) THE COURT: Bring them in, please. 8 9 (Jury present) 10 THE COURT: All right. The government may call its 11 next witness. 12 MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, your Honor. The government calls Jorge Medina. 13 14 JORGE MEDINA, 15 called as a witness by the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 17 THE COURT: You may inquire. 18 MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 20 BY MR. LOCKARD: 21 Good afternoon, Mr. Medina. 22 Α. Good afternoon. 23 Where are you from, sir? 0.

San Pedro Sula, Honduras.

Do you currently live in Honduras?

24

25

Α.

L3HKRAM2 Medina - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What is your profession?
- 3 A. Agricultural engineer.
- 4 | Q. What does an agricultural engineer do?
- 5 A. He is in charge of planting and making crops grow.
- 6 Q. What kinds of crops have you worked with as an agricultural
- 7 | engineer?
- 8 A. Palm, corn, and rice.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar with a company called Ganaderos?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you work there?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Who owned Ganaderos?
- 14 A. Javier Rivera.
- MR. LOCKARD: Ms. Hurst, if you could show us
- 16 Government Exhibit 104.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Medina, do you recognize that person?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And who is that?
- 20 A. Javier Rivera.
- MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, Ms. Hurst.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Medina, when did you start working at Ganaderos?
- 23 A. 2007/2008.
- Q. And when did you stop working at Ganaderos?
- 25 A. End of 2012.

- Q. When you started working at Ganaderos, where were you first
- 2 located?
- 3 A. Tacoa, Colon.
- 4 | Q. For how long were you based in Tacoa, Colon?
- 5 A. Some months; eight to ten months.
- 6 Q. And where did you work next?
- 7 A. Choloma, Cortes.
- 8 Q. When you were based in Choloma, Cortes, what were your
- 9 responsibilities with Ganaderos?
- 10 A. I supervised the planting of rice and corn.
- 11 MR. LOCKARD: Ms. Hurst, if we could see Government
- 12 Exhibit 103, please.
- 13 | Q. Mr. Medina, do you recognize that person?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And, Mr. Medina, if you can understand some of my questions
- 16 | in English, I'd ask that you please allow the interpreter to go
- 17 | ahead and complete the interpretation.
- 18 A. That's fine.
- 19 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 20 Do you recognize the person shown in this picture?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Who is that?
- 23 A. Geovanny Fuentes.
- 24 | Q. When did you first meet Mr. Fuentes?
- 25 A. 2010.

- 1 | Q. And who introduced you to Mr. Fuentes?
- 2 A. Melvin Sandrez and Isidro Rivera.
- MR. LOCKARD: Ms. Hurst, could we see Government
- 4 Exhibit 107, please.
- 5 | Q. Mr. Medina, do you recognize that person?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And who is that?
- 8 A. Melvin Sandrez.
- 9 0. And who was Melvin Sandrez?
- 10 | A. He was a cousin of Javier Rivera.
- 11 Q. Did Mr. Sandrez have a nickname?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | O. What was his nickname?
- 14 | A. Metro.
- 15 MR. LOCKARD: Ms. Hurst, could you show Mr. Medina
- 16 Government Exhibit 305.
- 17 THE COURT: Keep your voice up, please.
- 18 MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 20 Q. Mr. Medina, do you recognize either of the individuals in
- 21 | this picture?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | 0. Who is the individual on the left?
- 24 A. Melvin Sandrez.
- MR. LOCKARD: The government offers Exhibit 305.

L3HKRAM2 Medina - Direct

- 1 MR. MOSKOWITZ: No objection.
- 2 | THE COURT: Received.
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 305 received in evidence)
- 4 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 5 Q. So, Mr. Medina, the person on the left, in the baseball
- 6 cap, is Melvin Sandrez; is that right?
- 7 | A. Yes.

- Q. And who is the person on the right?
- 9 A. Yankel Rosenthal.
- 10 MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, Ms. Hurst.
- 11 Q. Mr. Medina, when did you first meet Melvin Sandrez?
- 12 A. End of 2009.
- 13 Q. Under what circumstances did you first meet him?
- 14 A. At a party for Javier Rivera's daughter.
- 15 | Q. And where was that party?
- 16 A. At one of the ranches that he had in Choloma.
- 17 | Q. Who else did you see at that party?
- 18 A. The Valles were there.
- 19 | Q. What was your understanding of who the Valles were?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 21 | THE COURT: Yes, sustained. I don't think the jury
- 22 | has heard this otherwise. What this witness' understanding is
- 23 does not strike me as particularly relevant.
- 24 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 25 | Q. Mr. Medina, was anyone at that party armed?

L3HKRAM2

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Who was armed at that party?
- 3 A. The security people of the people who were there.
- 4 | Q. Who had security of the people who were at the party?
- 5 | A. Javier, the Valles, and Metro.
- 6 Q. What kind of weapons were the security carrying?
- 7 A. They had semiautomatic pistols and some had AR-15s.
- 8 Q. Mr. Medina, during the time that you worked at Ganaderos,
- 9 were there other times when you saw Javier Rivera in person?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did he have security with him on those occasions?
- 12 A. Several times.
- 13 Q. What type of security did he have with him?
- 14 A. Two, three people, four people who are around who are there
- 15 | with him, taking care of him, protecting him.
- 16 | Q. During the time that you worked for Ganaderos, did you see
- 17 | Leonel Rivera Maradiaga in person?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. On those occasions, did he have armed security?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And can you describe what kind of armed security he had?
- 22 | A. Yes. He had several people with him. He had several
- 23 | bodyquards, several security people, with him.
- 24 Q. And approximately --
- 25 A. More than seven.

- Q. And what types of weapons did Leonel Rivera's bodyguards carry?
 - A. Semiautomatic pistols and some AR-15s.
- 4 Q. Mr. Medina, did there come a time when you understood that
- 5 Leonel and Javier Rivera were involved in drug trafficking?
- 6 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 7 | THE COURT: Lay a foundation.
- 8 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 9 Q. So, Mr. Medina, you were an employee of Ganaderos for
- 10 several years; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. During that time, you saw Javier Rivera on several
- 13 | occasions; is that right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you saw Leonel Rivera on several occasions?
- 16 A. Yes. A little bit less than Javier.
- 17 | Q. Did you have discussions with other employees at Ganaderos
- 18 about what other kinds of business Javier and Leonel Rivera
- 19 were involved in?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 21 | THE COURT: That's a yes-or-no question. You can
- 22 answer it.
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 | Q. In those discussions, did you hear the phrase "the

```
L3HKRAM2
                                 Medina - Direct
      Cachiros"?
 1
 2
                MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
 3
                THE COURT: Yes, let me see you at sidebar.
 4
                Sorry, ladies and gentlemen.
 5
                (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

)	1	r	е	C.	t
))ュ)ır)ıre	Direc

1	(At the sidebar)
2	THE COURT: So the gist of what you're trying to
3	establish is that the Cachiros are drug dealers and that this
4	witness learned that Mr. Rivera was a Cachiros. Is that it?
5	MR. LOCKARD: This particular line of questioning is
6	just to establish that the witness was aware that his employers
7	were associated with drug trafficking.
8	THE COURT: Okay. So this is all offered for the
9	truth of its content, right?
10	MR. LOCKARD: No. This is offered, and he's going to
11	say that he was not personally involved in drug trafficking.
12	THE COURT: No, that's not that he was not
13	personally involved in drug trafficking. It has nothing to do
14	with whether he was involved in drug trafficking. I'm not
15	suggesting that you're trying to get this in as a coconspirator
16	statement.
17	MR. LOCKARD: No, not at all.
18	THE COURT: I didn't suggest that. I didn't think
19	that. But you're trying to establish that they were involved
20	in drug trafficking.
21	MR. LOCKARD: That it was known by him and others.
22	THE COURT: Just in the ether?
23	MR. LOCKARD: In the right.
24	THE COURT: Like you bring in somebody who I've read
25	in the newspaper is a member of the Gambino Crime Family, and I

L3HKRAM2 Medina - Direct say, well, they're in organized crime. I have no idea whether they are. There's a newspaper article, and kind of a lot of people just assume that they're in organized crime. That's not anything other than hearsay. So, sustained. MR. LOCKARD: Okay. Thank you, Judge. (Continued on next page)

L3HKRAM2 Medina - Direct

1 (In open court)

2 MR. LOCKARD: May I resume, your Honor?

3 | THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. LOCKARD: May I resume, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. LOCKARD: Thank you.

BY MR. LOCKARD:

- Q. Mr. Medina, when did you first see the defendant,
- 9 Mr. Fuentes?

4

5

6

7

- 10 | A. In 2010.
- 11 Q. Under what circumstances?
- 12 A. They came to Choloma because Javier Rivera was going to
- 13 | rent out a property of one of those that he had to him,
- 14 Geovanny Fuentes, Metro, and Isidro Rivera, so that they could
- 15 | plant a malanga project.
- 16 | Q. For the benefit of those of us who aren't familiar with
- 17 | malanga, what kind of crop is that?
- 18 A. Malanga is a type of root vegetable. It's a vegetable.
- 19 | Q. Did you have any responsibilities relating to that malanga
- 20 property?
- 21 A. Just to supervise for Isidro Rivera the part that was --
- 22 | that belonged to him.
- 23 Q. How far was that property from the farm where you worked?
- 24 A. I was taking care of four ranches, but that one was about
- 25 | five kilometers away.

- Q. From that time on, approximately how often would you see the defendant?
- A. He would come by there several times because he was the person responsible for that malanga crop. He was the one who
- 5 had knowledge about malanga as a crop.
- 6 Q. And so how frequently would you see him?
- 7 A. Three, four times a week.
- Q. Now, did there come a time when you learned of a raid on a drug lab near Choloma?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- Q. After you learned of that, how much time passed before you saw the defendant again?
- 13 A. One or two months.
- Q. Mr. Medina, did there come a time when you learned that the
- 15 defendant was selling rice seed to Isidro Rivera?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And what did you learn about that?
- 18 A. Because when we were planting the seed, the workers that I
- 19 had who were planting called me, and they told me that that
- 20 seed was no good.
- 21 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 22 | THE COURT: It's not for the truth of its content.
- 23 | Overruled.

- 24 BY MR. LOCKARD:
 - Q. So, Mr. Medina, what, if anything, did you do next?

5

6

8

9

- A. I called Isidro Rivera, and I reported to him that the seed was useless.
- 3 | Q. After that, did you hear from the defendant?
 - A. Yes. He called me, very upset over that.
 - Q. What did the defendant say to you?
 - MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. Ah, he said why were we messing with this, not to be snitches, otherwise he was going to waste us.
- 10 | O. And what was his tone?
- 11 A. Oh, no, he was mad.
- 12 Q. After that, did you have a conversation with someone else?
- 13 A. Yes. With Isidro.
- 14 | Q. Now, Mr. Medina, did there come another time when you
- 15 | learned that Isidro was selling woodchips to the defendant?
- 16 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection, your Honor. May we
- 17 | approach?
- THE COURT: No, I'll allow it.
- Go ahead.
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And what did you learn about that?
- 22 | A. Because he asked me to help him with that project.
- 23 | Q. And so what did you learn?
- 24 A. Through an Agroforestal Fuentes worker, that we were being
- 25 paid too low a price and that others were getting paid more.

L3HKRAM2

Medina - Direct

- 1 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- THE COURT: All right. That's not for the truth of
- 3 whether they were getting too low of a price, but simply that's
- 4 what was said.
- 5 Go ahead, next question.
- 6 BY MR. LOCKARD:
 - Q. And what is Agroforestal Fuentes?
- 8 A. Agroforestal Fuentes was a wood chipping purchasing
- 9 company.

- 10 | O. And who was its owner?
- 11 A. Geovanny Fuentes.
- 12 Q. What happened after you were told that information about
- 13 | the woodchips?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 A. Geovanny Fuentes called me, very mad.
- 17 | Q. Before Mr. Fuentes called you, was there a decision about
- 18 whether to continue to sell the woodchips to Agroforestal?
- 19 A. Yes. Isidro called me that we would not continue selling
- 20 | to them for that price.
- 21 | Q. And then you said you heard from Mr. Fuentes?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What did he say to you?
- 24 A. Not to stick my nose where it didn't belong, that that was
- 25 | not my business, and to stop being a snitch, or he was going to

L3HKRAM2 Medina - Direct waste me. 1 Did Isidro find another buyer for those woodchips? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Did you later receive a phone call about the delivery of Q. 5 those woodchips to the new buyer? 6 Yes, that's right. Α. 7 And who called you? The person to whom we were selling the woodchips called me. 8 Α. 9 And where was that person when he called you? Q. 10 Α. He was in the middle of a --11 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction: 12 Α. He was at a checkpoint. 13 What did he say was happening at the checkpoint? Q. 14 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection. 15 THE COURT: One second. All right. Let me see you at sidebar. 16 17 (Continued on next page) 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

3HKRAM2 Medina	ı –	Direct
----------------	-----	--------

	L3HKRAM2 Medina - Direct
1	(At the sidebar)
2	THE COURT: So, what's the answer to this question
3	going to be?
4	MR. LOCKARD: So the witness, we suspect, is going to
5	say that the person called from the checkpoint, and he will say
6	the truck is being seized, I'm at a police checkpoint.
7	THE COURT: All right. So, the thrust of this is what
8	that relates to this indictment?
9	MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.
10	We expect the witness will describe the second phone
11	call after this where the same person says I'm at a location
12	where I was directed to unload the contents of the truck, and a
13	truck owned by the defendant is here picking up that cargo, and
14	the relevance of that is there's been testimony about the
15	defendant's relationships with law enforcement and ability to
16	use law enforcement, and this is an illustration of using that
17	same ability and contacts this time not for drugs, but
18	essentially to steal a shipment of agricultural products.
19	THE COURT: That's what this witness is here for?
20	MR. LOCKARD: That's what this line of questioning is
21	for, and then we're going to move on.
22	THE COURT: Well, is this witness here for anything
23	else?
24	MR. LOCKARD: Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

THE COURT: What?

Medina - Direct

MR. LOCKARD: He will also testify that after the Cachiros were designated, the defendant instructed him to go meet with Fuad Jarufe, who then told the witness that Fuad Jarufe is close with the National Party, including Juan Orlando Hernandez, and in exchange for \$5 million, would protect the Cachiros.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, I object to the entire line of questioning. That last point may be relevant, arguably is relevant. All of this point till now, the two conversations, were merely offered to argue that the defendant has a violent disposition or a bad temper and threatening. There's no relevance to it at all.

This is very far afield. It requires a lot of assumptions as to how things happened and why things happened that neither this witness, nor the person who talked to him can provide.

THE COURT: So, tell me again how this ties in with law enforcement.

MR. LOCKARD: So, there is -- this is then, and then a couple of other things that the witness will testify if we -- after this conversation. The first is the use of the police checkpoint to seize and take the contents of this truck. This witness can also say --

THE COURT: Well, you didn't establish it was a police

24

25

1	checkpoint, did you?
2	MR. LOCKARD: No, we stopped before we got to that
3	part, but he will say it was a police checkpoint and a police
4	seizure of the truck.
5	MR. MOSKOWITZ: He can't say anything. He can say
6	what somebody told him. He also can't say why they stopped him
7	or what the basis if they seized it, why they seized it.
8	MR. LOCKARD: And we think because of these calls, I
9	think the witnesses' testimony establishes that the person
10	who's calling him is describing something that's happening at
11	that moment, that it's admissible as a present-sense
12	impression.
13	THE COURT: Yes, but that may be true about, oh, my
14	goodness, somebody just seized the truck, but is he going to
15	say the police seized the truck?
16	MR. LOCKARD: Yes. He's going to say it's a police
17	checkpoint.
18	THE COURT: No, you told me that already. I'm asking
19	you, the person on the phone who's telling him this.
20	MR. LOCKARD: Oh. Yes, yes. The caller describes it
21	as a police checkpoint and a police seizure of the truck, and
22	we're navigating around any of the other part of this

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to give an instruction to the jury on this.

conversation to just focus on those two phone calls.

1 (In open court)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the testimony you've been hearing and the testimony you're about to hear do not relate to activities of the defendant that are charged in the indictment as part of a crime. And the evidence may not alone be sufficient for you to find that the defendant is quilty of any of the charges in the indictment. The evidence is being offered to show the relationships between the defendant and other groups, other people, and it is the government's position, which the defendant vigorously disagrees with, that this will show, or help shed some light, on those relationships. But, again, it's not evidence of the crime charged in this case and may not be the basis for your consideration of anything other than on how defendant's influence, control, or relationship with other groups, and then it's only entitled to such weight as you, the jury, believes it should be given.

Go ahead.

MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. LOCKARD:

- Q. Mr. Medina, on the phone call that you received, what did the person who called you describe as happening during that phone call?
- A. Yes. He called me, and he told me that the vehicle was being taken away from him, that he was at a checkpoint in

- Choloma, and that the biomass that we were selling was being taken from him.
- 3 \ Q. Did he say taken by whom?
- A. Yes. He says that the car was returned to him, but that the biomass was dumped on the ground.

THE COURT: Listen to the words of the question that was asked and answer the question that was asked.

Mr. Reporter, can you repeat the question.

(Record read)

- A. Yes. The police.
- 11 THE COURT: Next question.
- 12 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- Q. And Mr. Medina, did you later get another phone call from the same person?
- 15 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

- 16 Q. On that call, did he describe to you something that was
- 17 | happening at the moment that he called you?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What did he describe was happening at that moment?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: I'll allow it, subject to the instruction
- 22 | I just gave you.
- Go ahead.
- 24 A. That the biomasses that had been taken away from him was
- 25 | being loaded onto an Agroforestal Fuentes vehicle.

- Q. Now, Mr. Medina, did there come a time when Ganaderos closed?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And who did you begin working for after Ganaderos closed?
- A. Mr. Fuad Jarufe and Geovanny Fuentes sought me out to run a
- 6 biomass project.
- 7 | Q. And is Fuad Jarufe the owner of a company called Graneros?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did there come a time when you spoke to Mr. Jarufe about
- 10 | Javier Rivera?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. How did that conversation come about?
- 13 A. Geovanny Fuentes told me that Mr. Fuad Jarufe wanted to 14 speak to me.
- 15 | Q. And did you go speak with Mr. Jarufe?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. When?
- 18 A. That was between middle 2012 and 2013.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. We're going to pause, ladies
- 20 and gentlemen, we're going to take our midday break. We're
- 21 going to pick up at 1:45. Please do not discuss the case among
- 22 | yourselves or with anyone else. Please enjoy your lunch. And
- 23 | we'll be back 1:45. Thank you. And, ladies and gentlemen,
- 24 please let our jurors leave before exiting the courtroom.
- 25 (Luncheon recess)

L3HHRAM3

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	1:50 p.m.
3	(In open court; jury not present)
4	THE COURT: Before our jury comes in, I want to read a
5	note which has been marked as Court Exhibit 10: "Would the
6	judge be able to give us an estimate of time or if we need to
7	possibly be here next week?" Juror No. 4. "My boss was asking
8	me if there were any updates."
9	I heard what the government had to say, so I'm
10	expecting their prediction to ring true, and so I will give
11	them an update soon.
12	All right. Take the podium, please.
13	(Continued on next page)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

L3HHRAM3

- 1 (Jury present)
- THE COURT: All right. You may continue.
- 3 MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 JORGE MEDINA, resumed.
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 6 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 7 Q. Mr. Medina, good afternoon.
- 8 A. Good afternoon.
- 9 Q. Before the lunch break, I had asked you about whether you
- 10 | had had a conversation with Fuad Jarufe about Javier Rivera?
- 11 A. Yes. Yes, I did have.
- 12 | Q. And how did that conversation come about?
- 13 A. How did it come about or what happened in it?
- 14 | Q. First, how did it come about?
- 15 | A. Geovanny Fuentes told me that Fuad Jarufe wanted to talk to
- 16 me.
- 17 | Q. And how long after that conversation did you meet with
- 18 Mr. Jarufe?
- 19 A. The following day.
- 20 | Q. And at that time had Ganaderos stopped doing business?
- 21 A. Yes, it was no longer active.
- 22 | Q. And why was Ganaderos no longer active?
- 23 A. Because reports had already come out from the United
- 24 | States, from the Department of State, that had declared them a
- 25 drug trafficking organization.

- 1 | Q. And so when you met with Mr. Jarufe, what did he say?
- 2 A. He was sending a proposal to Mr. Javier Rivera. It was to
- 3 provide security for him at one of his properties where no one
- 4 | would touch him, because he was someone who had cooperated a
- 5 lot with the National Party and said that he could run that
- 6 with -- that he could manage that with Juan Orlando Hernandez.
- 7 | That was in exchange for \$5 million.
- 8 | Q. And how, if at all, did you respond to Mr. Jarufe?
- 9 A. Yes, I told him that I would try to let Mr. Rivera know
- 10 about that.
- 11 Q. Now, after that conversation, did you have a conversation
- 12 | with Javier Rivera?
- 13 A. Yes, I did have one.
- 14 | Q. And after that conversation, did you speak again with
- 15 Mr. Jarufe?
- 16 A. Yes, he made the same proposal to me, asked me if I had
- 17 | already spoken to Javier.
- 18 | Q. And what did Mr. Jarufe say about that?
- 19 A. What did Jarufe say?
- 20 Q. Yes, what did Mr. Jarufe say when he spoke to you again
- 21 | about the proposal?
- 22 | A. He asked me if I already -- if I had already spoken to the
- 23 | big man about his proposal to provide him security.
- 24 | Q. And what did you say to Mr. Jarufe?
- 25 A. No, I said that I had not yet had communication with him.

- Q. And did you hear again from the defendant about this matter?
- A. Yes. On the second call he repeated to me that Mr. Jarufe wanted to talk to me.
 - Q. So the defendant asked you again to meet with Mr. Jarufe?
- 6 | A. Yes.

5

- Q. Is that when you had the conversation you just described a moment ago?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. Mr. Medina, during the time that you were working with the defendant and Mr. Jarufe, did the defendant ever speak to you
- 12 | about one of his firearms?
- 13 | A. Yes.

- Q. And which firearm did he talk to you about?
- 15 \parallel A. Yes, he showed me an AR-15 that he had at his house.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . And what did the defendant say about that AR-15?
- 17 A. No, he just showed it to me. He just showed it to me.
- Q. And what, if anything, did he say about where he had
- 19 | obtained it?
- 20 A. Oh, he said that it had been given to him by a high-ranking
- 21 police officer, high-ranking military man. I don't know
- 22 whether it was policeman -- police or military.
- 23 MR. LOCKARD: Your Honor, may I have one moment?
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 (Counsel confer)

L3HHRAM3 Medina - Cross

1 MR. LOCKARD: No further questions.

THE COURT: All right. You may cross.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: One moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: May I proceed, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2

3

4

5

6

7

- BY MR. MOSKOWITZ:
- 9 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Medina.
- 10 A. Good afternoon.
- 11 Q. If I may, can you kind of scooch forward a little bit in
- 12 | your chair so I can actually see you. Thank you.
- 13 You began working for Javier Rivera in 2006, correct?
- 14 A. 2007, 2008.
- 15 \parallel Q. And you worked with him until sometime in either late 2012
- 16 or 2013, is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, for Ganaderos Agricultores del Norte, yes.
- 18 | Q. And after Ganaderos went out of business, you maintained
- 19 | contact with Javier Rivera, correct?
- 20 A. When he was still in Honduras, yes.
- 21 | Q. And there came a point in time when Javier Rivera came to
- 22 | the United States, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. You have been -- and after that you maintained contact with
- 25 | his family, correct?

L3HHRAM3

Medina - Cross

- 1 | A. With his wife.
- 2 | Q. And when was the last time you spoke with Javier Rivera?
- 3 A. Last time I spoke to him when I pitched -- when I pitched
- 4 Mr. Jarufe's proposal to him in Honduras.
- 5 | Q. Have you spoken to him since he's been in the United
- 6 | States?
- 7 A. He called me and asked me if I wanted to come and testify
- 8 | regarding things I said to Fuad Jarufe.
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter wishes to confer, your
- 10 | Honor, with my colleague.
- 11 A. He called me and asked me if I wanted to testify regarding
- 12 | things I said to Mr. Fuad Jarufe --
- 13 (Interpreters confer)
- 14 A. If I wanted to testify to things that I told him about what
- 15 | I said to Mr. Fuad Jarufe and Geovanny Fuentes.
- 16 Q. Before you came to testify here, Mr. Javier Rivera called
- 17 | you and asked you if you would agree to come and testify,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. And after he spoke to you, he put you on the phone with a
- 21 DEA agent, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, by text.
- 23 | Q. And that's how you came to testify here, correct?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 | Q. You understood from your conversation with Mr. Rivera that

Medina - Cross

- 1 he was cooperating with the government, correct?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Sir, other than the conversation that you just described
- 4 when he asked you about whether you'd be willing to testify,
- 5 | did you have any other communications with Mr. Rivera -- I'm
- 6 sorry, since he's been in the United States?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you have a conversation with Mr. Rivera as part of a
- 9 | three-way call with his wife?
- 10 A. No, I don't understand. How is that?
- 11 | Q. Let's try to simplify it.
- 12 In January of this year, did you get a call from -- or
- 13 | did you have a telephone conversation with Mrs. Rivera,
- 14 | Javier's wife?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And during that telephone conversation, did Mrs. Rivera
- 17 | connect you by telephone to Javier Rivera?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And during that telephone conversation, did you discuss a
- 20 document that you had written and sent to Javier Rivera?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Javier Rivera -- before the telephone call, Javier Rivera
- 23 asked you to prepare a document that related to your
- 24 conversations with Fuad Jarufe, isn't that correct?
- 25 A. For him to -- for me to remind him of what I had said to

Medina - Cross

- 1 Mr. Fuad Jarufe.
- THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction.
- 3 A. To remind him of what I had said to him about Mr. Fuad 4 Jarufe.
- Q. So he asked you to prepare a document about your communications with Mr. Jarufe and what you had told him about those communications, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

- Q. And then when you spoke to Mr. Javier Rivera in January of this year, he told you he wasn't satisfied with the document that you prepared, correct?
- 12 | A. No.
 - Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that when you spoke to Javier Rivera in January of this year while he was on a three-way call with his wife, Mr. Rivera told you you have to make sure you put in the document that it was Mr. Fuentes who communicated with you and asked you to speak to Fuad Jarufe?
- 18 A. No.
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: One moment, Judge.
- 20 (Counsel confer)
- Q. Mr. Medina, I'm going to show you a document that I've
 marked as Defendant's Exhibit C for identification and ask you
 to take a look at it. I'm going to direct your attention
 specifically to the middle of page 3, beginning -- of course
 you can look at the whole document if you'd like.

2

4

5

6

7

Medina - Cross

- Please take a look at the text that begins on line 18 and goes through the next page.
- 3 A. What other page do I look at, did you say?
 - Q. Page 4, and then actually go through page 5.
 - A. And what paragraph on page 4?
 - Q. If you can, take a look at all of page 4 and page 5, and when you finish with page 5, let me know.
- 8 THE INTERPRETER: The witness has turned the document 9 over to the interpreter.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the portions of Defendant's Exhibit C that I asked you to look at?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, sir, when you spoke to Mr. Javier Rivera in January on the call that his wife connected you to, you knew he was in
- 15 | jail, correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. In the United States, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. But you didn't know that the phone call was being taped,
 20 correct?
- 21 A. No, no, I didn't know.
- 22 Q. Having reviewed the document that I showed you, does it
- 23 | refresh your recollection that when you spoke to Mr. Javier
- 24 Rivera in January of this year, he told you to make sure that
- 25 when you wrote the document for him, you put in that Geovanny

Medina - Cross

- 1 | Fuentes was the one who told you to speak to Fuad Jarufe?
- 2 A. Yes, he did say that, but honestly, it was Geovanny Fuentes
- 3 who told me that Fuad Jarufe wanted to speak to me because he
- 4 was my go-between with Fuad Jarufe all the time.
- 5 Q. Now, before you had this conversation with Javier Rivera,
- 6 you had written up a document for him describing your
- 7 communications with Fuad Jarufe, correct?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 | Q. And when you wrote it up the first time, you didn't mention
- 10 | Mr. Fuentes at all, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, because Mr. Fuentes didn't have anything to do -- or
- 12 | rather, he was not there during our conversation with Fuad
- 13 Jarufe.
- 14 | Q. When you spoke to -- withdrawn.
- 15 And it was only in the conversation with Javier Rivera
- 16 | that he told you, please make sure when you write up the
- 17 document you put the name of Mr. Fuentes in the document,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And, by the way, just to follow up on your previous answer,
- 21 when you spoke to Fuad Jarufe about the offer he was making to
- 22 | Javier Rivera, Mr. Fuentes wasn't there, correct?
- 23 | A. No, no, he wasn't.
- 24 | Q. You never discussed with him the substance of what you
- 25 discussed with Mr. Fuad Jarufe, correct?

4

5

6

Medina - Redirect

- A. Yes, yes. I did tell him, but he didn't say anything back to me. He didn't share anything with me.
 - Q. You told him what Mr. Jarufe said to you, but he didn't respond at all, correct?
 - A. No, nothing.
 - MR. MOSKOWITZ: One moment, Judge.
- 7 Nothing further, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.
- 9 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 11 | Q. Mr. Medina --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- when you testified about your meeting with Mr. Jarufe,
- 14 | did you testify truthfully?
- MR. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And when you spoke with Javier Rivera and he asked you to
- 19 | include information about the defendant, was the information
- 20 | that you described about the defendant true?
- 21 A. Yes, it was true.
- 22 | Q. Did you make up anything about the defendant because Javier
- 23 | Rivera asked you to?
- 24 A. No. Everything I'm saying is the truth.
- 25 Q. Have you been promised anything by Javier Rivera or his

L3HHRAM3 Medina - Redirect

family? 1 2 No, nothing. Α. 3 Q. Will you benefit financially from Javier Rivera or his 4 family based on your testimony today? 5 A. No, nothing. 6 MR. MOSKOWITZ: May I have a moment, your Honor? 7 THE COURT: You may. MR. LOCKARD: No further questions. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. You may step down. 10 (Witness excused) 11 THE COURT: Call your next witness. 12 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government calls 13 Special Agent Sandalio Gonzalez. 14 MR. SCHULMAN: Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SCHULMAN: Could we approach on an issue that we 16 17 have about this witness' testimony. THE COURT: About this witness? 18 MR. SCHULMAN: The upcoming witness, there may be an 19 20 issue that I would think would be better to address beforehand. 21 THE COURT: Let me see you at sidebar. 22 Ladies and gentlemen, you can stand up and stretch. 23 (Continued on next page)

24

25

Medina - Redirect

(At sidebar)
MR. SCHULMAN: Your Honor, last night we were served
with what's marked as Government Exhibit 504, which is a list
of a bunch of individuals that appear
THE COURT: Get your hand off of there's an
imaginary cone around the court reporter, OK? You don't invade
that.
MR. SCHULMAN: Of course. So it's listed as
defendants first arrested in the Southern District of New York.
It's almost like a list of different people with images,
different places. Yesterday when I tried to inquire of the
expert about different people who had been extradited from
Honduras, I was not permitted, and then last night we get a
list of all these different images of different people
extradited from all over the world. So before it becomes an
issue with the witness here, I thought it prudent to address it
with the Court now.
THE COURT: What's the issue?
MR. SCHULMAN: It should be inadmissible.
THE COURT: Why?
MR. SCHULMAN: For the same reason that I wasn't
allowed to cross-examine the expert on it yesterday. It's
irrelevant. It has nothing to do

THE COURT: Which expert?

MR. SCHULMAN: Dario Euraque.

1	THE COURT: Did you try to cross-examine on this
2	document?
3	MR. SCHULMAN: I tried to cross-examine on different
4	individuals who were extradited, who surrendered, and this is a
5	list of different people who surrendered or were extradited and
6	different things along those lines.
7	THE COURT: I don't recall that line of questioning.
8	Was there an objection made or
9	MR. GUTWILLIG: I don't recall that questioning
10	either. I do recall there being some general questions about
11	extraditions. The purpose of this document and I don't know
12	that it's something that that witness would have opined on.
13	He's an expert witness the purpose of this document is to
14	show that individuals regarding whom there's been testimony
15	during this trial who the government would characterize as
16	joint offenders were first brought to the Southern District of
17	New York by various ways. The special agent will say that he
18	has reviewed this chart. He has
19	THE COURT: He has what?
20	MR. GUTWILLIG: He has reviewed this chart, he has
21	reviewed the accuracy of this chart, and it reflects
22	THE COURT: Well, I'll hear the foundation. Thank
23	you.
24	(Continued on next page)

Q.

Gonzalez - Direct

1 (In open court; jurors present) 2 THE COURT: Get your witness up. How long do you have with this witness? 3 4 MR. GUTWILLIG: I would estimate somewhere between 5 probably two and a half hours, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: I think it's going to be a lot shorter 7 than that, I predict, and I suggest you should adjust your 8 examination accordingly. 9 MR. GUTWILLIG: Yes, your Honor. 10 SANDALIO GONZALEZ, 11 called as a witness by the Government, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 MR. GUTWILLIG: May I inquire, your Honor? 14 THE COURT: You may inquire. Get at it. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTWILLIG: 16 17 Good afternoon, Special Agent Gonzalez. 18 Good afternoon, sir. Α. 19 Where do you work? Q. 20 I work at the DEA. Α. 21 For how long have you worked at the DEA? Q. 22 Α. Approximately 21 years. 23 What is your position at the DEA? 0. 24 Α. I'm a group supervisor.

In any particular group?

L3HHRAM3

Gonzalez - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And what group is that?
- 3 A. The bilateral investigations unit of the Special Operations
- 4 Division.
- 5 | Q. And has your work in the bilateral investigations unit
- 6 | focused on any particular region?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 | Q. And what region is that?
- 9 A. Latin America.
- 10 | Q. Any particular countries within that region?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. Which countries? Could you list a few, please.
- 13 A. Colombia, Venezuela, Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico.
- 14 | Q. How long have you been with the DEA?
- 15 A. Twenty-one years.
- 16 THE COURT: We've had that already. That's the second
- 17 | time.
- 18 | Q. Directing your attention to March 1 of 2020, did you
- 19 complete a law enforcement action on that day?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please publish
- 22 | what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 103.
- 23 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, do you recognize that picture?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Who is it?

Gonzalez - Direct

- 1 A. That's Geovanny Fuentes.
- 2 | Q. On March 1, 2020, did you arrest that individual?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 4 | Q. After you arrested the defendant, did you later conduct a
- 5 postarrest interview?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. In what language did you conduct that interview?
- 8 A. Spanish.
- 9 | Q. Are you fluent in Spanish?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Was the interview videotaped?
- 12 A. Yes, it was.
- 13 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, on the witness stand is a disc
- 14 | marked Government Exhibit 401-D.
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. Do you recognize that disc?
- 17 | A. Yes, I do.
- 18 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 19 | A. I reviewed it and initialed it earlier today.
- 20 | Q. And have you reviewed its contents?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 \parallel Q. What are the contents of the disc generally?
- 23 A. Videotape of the postarrest interview of Mr. Fuentes.
- 24 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, at this time the
- 25 government offers -- I can read off the Government Exhibits.

Q.

What is it?

```
It's Government Exhibit 401-1, 401-3, 401-4, 401-5, 401-6,
1
      401-8, 401-9, 401-10, 401-12, 401-13, 401-14, 401-15, 401-16,
 2
 3
      401-17, 401-19, 401-20, 401-21, 401-22, 401-23, and your Honor
 4
      also 401-24, though we have something to take up with your
 5
      Honor on that exhibit perhaps when there's a good time for
6
      that.
 7
               THE COURT: So what are you doing?
               MR. GUTWILLIG: Offering all of those exhibits.
 8
9
               THE COURT: Including the one that you have to take up
10
      with me?
11
               MR. GUTWILLIG:
                               Including that one, yes, sir.
12
               THE COURT: Any objection?
13
               MR. SCHULMAN: No objection subject to a potentially
      adding some excerpts for context reasons.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. Received.
               (Government's Exhibits 401-1, 401-3, 401-4, 401-5,
16
17
      401-6, 401-8, 401-9, 401-10, 401-12, 401-13, 401-14, 401-15,
      401-16, 401-17, 401-19, 401-20, 401-21, 401-22, 401-23, and
18
      401-24 received in evidence)
19
20
               MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
21
      for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 401-T.
22
     BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
23
          Special Agent Gonzalez, do you recognize this?
      0.
24
     Α.
          Yes.
```

Gonzalez - Direct

Looks to be the first page of the translation of the 1 2 postarrest interview. 3 Is it a transcript of certain of these video clips? Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 Q. Have you reviewed it in preparation for your testimony today? 6 7 A. Yes. MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers 8 9 Exhibit 401-T. 10 THE COURT: Any objection? 11 MR. SCHULMAN: No, no objection subject to the same 12 issue with context, Judge. 13 THE COURT: All right. Received. 14 (Government's Exhibit 401-T received in evidence) 15 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 401-1. 16 17 (Video played) 18 THE COURT: Stop it for a second. 19 Am I correct that the words that are appearing on my 20 screen are from the transcript that was just received into 21 evidence? 22 MR. GUTWILLIG: That's correct, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Including the translation, or specifically 24 the translation?

MR. GUTWILLIG: That's correct, your Honor.

- 1 THE COURT: OK. Go ahead.
- 2 (Video played)
- 3 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 4 Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, during the course of that segment,
- 5 you provided the defendant with a form. What form was that?
- 6 A. The advice of rights form.
 - Q. Is that a standard DEA form?
- 8 | A. Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 10 | for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 402 and
- 11 402-T.

- 12 | Q. Do you recognize these?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. What are they?
- 15 \parallel A. On the left is the advice of rights form that I read to
- 16 Mr. Fuentes and he initialed and signed, and on the right is a
- 17 | translation.
- 18 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
- 19 | Exhibits 402 and 402-T.
- 20 MR. SCHULMAN: No objection, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Received.
- 22 | (Government's Exhibits 402 and 402-T received in
- 23 | evidence)
- 24 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 25 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, directing your attention to the

L3HHRAM3

- right side of Exhibit 402, is there a signature in the bottom right?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 4 | Q. Whose signature is that?
- 5 A. Geovanny Daniel Fuentes, the defendant.
- 6 Q. Let's turn to another portion of the interview. During
- 7 | this interview, did you discuss with the defendant an
- 8 | individual by the name of Melvin Sandrez?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 107.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize that picture?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. Who is it?
- 15 A. It's Melvin Sandrez, aka Metro.
- 16 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, who is
- 17 | Metro?
- 18 A. Metro's a Honduran drug trafficker.
- 19 | Q. Does he have a familial relationship to anyone else you've
- 20 | investigated?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. What is that?
- 23 | A. He's cousin to Devis Rivera and Leonel Rivera -- excuse me,
- 24 Javier Rivera.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

anything?

Gonzalez - Direct

1 Ms. Hurst, could you please play Government Exhibit 401-2. 2 3 (Video played) 4 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up Government Exhibit -- for the witness, Government Exhibit 102. 5 6 Do you recognize this? Ο. 7 Yes, sir. Α. What is it? 8 Q. 9 A. Picture of Devis Leonel Rivera. 10 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers Government Exhibit 102. 11 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 MR. SCHULMAN: No objection. I thought this was in 14 evidence, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Received. (Government's Exhibit 102 received in evidence) 16 17 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please also publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 104. 18 BY MR. GUTWILLIG: 19 20 Do you recognize that? Ο. 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 Ο. And what is it? 23 It's a picture of Javier Rivera. 24 Collectively are these two individuals referred to as 25

- L3HHRAM3 Gonzalez - Direct 1 Α. Yes, sir. What is that? 2 Q. 3 The Cachiros. Α. 4 During the interview, did you discuss the Cachiros with the Q. 5 defendant? A. Yes, sir. 6 7 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play Government Exhibit 401-4 through the 38 second mark. 8 9 (Video played) 10 During the interview, did you ask the defendant about 11 Graneros? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play from 14 the 38 second mark to the end of this clip. 15 (Video played) MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play 16 17 Government Exhibit 401-5. 18 (Video played) Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant how he met 19 20 the Cachiros? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play

Government Exhibit 401-6.

(Video played)

23

1 Government Exhibit 401-22.
2 (Video played)

- Q. During the interview, did the defendant also say whether he knew Javier Rivera?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play Government Exhibit 401-13.

(Video played)

- Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he did business with the Cachiros?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
 Government Exhibit 401-20.

(Video played)

MR. GUTWILLIG: And, Ms. Hurst, could you please play
Government Exhibit 401-8.

17 (Video played)

- Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant when was the last time he had spoken with the Cachiros?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
 Government Exhibit 401-16.

23 (Video played)

Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant about a cocaine lab located in Cerro Negro?

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- 2 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play 401-9
- 3 | through 3 minutes and 20 seconds.
- 4 (Video played)
- 5 | Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant about other
- 6 | individuals?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Did you ask the defendant about individuals that, based on
- 9 your involvement in this investigation, you've identified as
- 10 | drug traffickers?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 13 | what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 109.
- 14 | Q. Do you recognize that?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | 0. Who is that?
- 17 | A. That's Edgar Rios, aka Pluto.
- 18 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, who is
- 19 | Pluto?
- 20 A. Drug trafficker in Honduras.
- 21 | Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he
- 22 | knew Pluto?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
- 25 Government Exhibit 401-14.

1 (Video played)

- 2 Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he
- 3 knew an individual named Vaquero?
- $4 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 5 | Q. Did he say whether he knew Vaquero?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, do you
- 8 know who Vaquero is?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. Who is Vaquero?
- 11 A. He's a hitman in Honduras.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
- 13 Government Exhibit 401-10.
- 14 (Video played)
- 15 | Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he
- 16 knew an individual named Chepe Handal?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. Did he say whether he knew Chepe Handal?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, who is
- 21 | Chepe Handal?
- 22 A. A Honduran drug trafficking.
- 23 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
- 24 Government Exhibit 401-12.
- 25 (Video played)

- Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he knew who the Valles were?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$

4

5

6

7

8

MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
Government Exhibit 401-19.

(Video played)

- Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, do you know who the Valles are?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | 0. Who are the Valles?
- 11 A. It's a very powerful Honduran drug trafficking cartel.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
 for the witness what's marked as Exhibit 201-8-T.
- 14 Q. Do you recognize this?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. Is this a communication from the defendant's cell phone?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. Have you reviewed it in preparation for today's testimony?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
- 21 \parallel 201-8-T and also the underlying document, 201-8.
- 22 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 23 MR. SCHULMAN: No objection, Judge.
- 24 | (Government's Exhibits 201-8 and 201-8-T received in
- 25 | evidence)?

- 1 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 2 \mathbb{Q} . Focusing on the first line of 201-8-T, the first line from
- 3 Comanche, could you please read the text in the second column
- 4 under translation.
- 5 A. "The son of extradited Arnulfo Valle Valle is murdered in
- 6 Copan. The victim is businessman Josue Caceres."
- 7 Q. Directing your attention to line 3, how does the defendant
- 8 respond?
- 9 A. "Arnulfo's son was nailed."
- 10 | Q. Directing thing your attention to line 5, what does
- 11 | Comanche write?
- 12 A. "Yeah, man, it's fucked up."
- 13 | Q. And on line 7, how does the defendant respond?
- 14 A. "The competition. Or the cops?"
- 15 | Q. Directing your attention to line 15, could you please read
- 16 what the defendant said, what the defendant said.
- 17 | A. "Yes. Yes, if the bull is not there when the big boss
- 18 | leaves, crazy. It's best to stop them with a smash, but the
- 19 | thing is that they want to nail all of them."
- 20 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 21 | for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 201-10-T,
- 22 and 201-10.
- 23 | Q. Do you recognize these documents?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. Are these communications from the defendant's cell phone?

L3HHRAM3

Gonzalez - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Have you reviewed them in preparation for your testimony
- 3 | today?

4

9

- A. Yes, sir.
- 5 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers 6 Government Exhibits 201-10 and 201-10T.
- 7 MR. SCHULMAN: No objection, Judge.
- 8 | THE COURT: Received.
 - (Government's Exhibits 201-10 and 201-10T received in evidence)
- 11 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- Q. Focusing on 201-10-T, directing your attention to line 1,
- 13 | what does Comanche write?
- 14 A. "Attorney Luis Pinto, the lawyer for the Valles, has just
- 15 been murdered."
- 16 | Q. And on line 5, what does the defendant say?
- 17 A. "Well, they knew what they were facing now. Things are so
- 18 bad here, as they say, things are so bad, those lawyers are
- 19 going to be subdued man. Very, very clever."
- 20 | Q. And on line 9, what does Comanche say?
- 21 A. "Money is -- it's nice, Comanche, money is nice. Do you
- 22 | think a lawyer doesn't know it'll be -- it's easy as pie
- 23 defending a narco like that. The money is nice, but, well, you
- 24 have the consequences there, the enemies."
- 25 Q. How does the defendant respond on line 11?

- 1 A. "That's correct, Comanche."
- 2 MR. GUTWILLIG: Thank you, Ms. Hurst.
- 3 | Q. During the interview, the postarrest interview, did you ask
- 4 | the defendant about donating to politicians?
- $5 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 6 Q. Did you ask him about donating to Leopoldo Crivelli?
- $7 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 8 | Q. Based on your investigation, do you know who Leopoldo
- 9 Crivelli is?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Who is he?
- 12 A. He's the mayor of Choloma.
- 13 | O. Does he have a nickname?
- 14 A. Yes, he does.
- 15 | Q. What's his nickname?
- 16 | A. Polo.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
- 18 Government Exhibit 401-15.
- 19 (Video played)
- 20 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 21 | for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 818.
- 22 | Q. Directing your attention to the top left, do you recognize
- 23 | that?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is it?

- 1 A. Picture of Leopoldo Crivelli.
- Q. And have you reviewed this document before your testimony today?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- 5 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers 6 Government Exhibit 818.
- 7 MR. SCHULMAN: No objection, Judge.
- 8 | THE COURT: Received.
- 9 (Government's Exhibit 818 received in evidence)
- 10 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 11 Q. Directing your attention to the second page of this
- document, on the left side of the page next to "telephone,"
- 13 could you please read the two numbers.
- 14 A. "95050816 (home), 26691774 (work)."
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 201-60.
- 17 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q. What is it?
- 20 A. They're phone numbers.
- 21 | Q. Is this from the defendant's phone?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
- 24 Government Exhibit 201-60.
- MR. SCHULMAN: No objection.

- 1 | THE COURT: Received.
- 2 (Government's Exhibit 201-60 received in evidence)
- 3 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 4 Q. Directing your attention to the middle column, could you
- 5 please read the entry on the top row.
- 6 A. "Polo."
- 7 Q. And the number to the right of that, could you please read
- 8 that.
- 9 A. "9505-0816."
- 10 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull you
- 11 | for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 502-1.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 \mathbb{Q} . What is it?
- 15 A. Part of a spreadsheet of the contact information taken from
- 16 | the defendant's phone.
- 17 | Q. Directing your attention to the rightmost column, do you
- 18 recognize that picture?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- $20 \parallel 0$. And what is it?
- 21 | A. A picture of Leopoldo Crivelli.
- 22 | Q. And directing your attention to the first column, what's
- 23 | the name there?
- 24 | A. Polo.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Thank you, Ms. Hurst.

- Q. During this interview, did you discuss an individual named
 Pepe Lobo with the defendant?
- 3 | A. Yes, sir.

4

8

9

10

- Q. Who is Pepe Lobo?
- 5 A. He's the son of a former Honduran president Porfirio Lobo.

6 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
7 Government Exhibit 401-25.

(Video played)

- Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant about Juan Orlando Hernandez?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please resume playing.
- 14 (Video played)
- Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he had ever contributed to Juan Orlando Hernandez?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play

 Government Exhibit 401-23.
- 20 (Video played)
- Q. During the interview, did you ask the defendant whether he knew any Honduran police officers?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Did the defendant name some police officers?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

```
Gonzalez - Direct
      L3HHRAM3
                MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please play
 1
 2
      Government Exhibit 401-17.
 3
                (Video played)
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

- BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- Q. During this portion of the interview, you asked the defendant about Mejia Vargas. Who is Mejia Vargas?
 - A. Mejia Vargas is a former Honduran police officer.
 - MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 119.
 - Q. Do you recognize this?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is it?
- 10 A. A photo of Alfonso Sierra Vargas, alias Renteria.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
 Government Exhibit 119.
- 13 MR. SCHULMAN: Sorry, Judge. No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Received.
- 15 (Government's Exhibit 119 received in evidence)
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up

 for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 507. I'm

 sorry, 504, my apologies.
- Do you recognize that document?
- 21 We're going to break for our mid-afternoon break. Please don't
- discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone. Keep an open

THE COURT: Take that down, ladies and gentlemen.

- 23 mind. And we'll be back in action in about ten minutes. Thank
- 24 you very much. Please remain in the courtroom until the jury
- 25 has exited the courtroom.

L3HKRAM4

```
Gonzalez - Direct
                You may remain seated, sir.
 1
 2
                (Continued on next page)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	(Jury not present)
2	THE COURT: Okay. After this witness, you have one
3	more law enforcement witness; is that correct?
4	MR. LOCKARD: That's correct, your Honor, a firearms
5	expert.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Understanding the defendant has no obligation to put
8	on a case — and I will tell the jury that, of course — is it
9	fair to say that if you put on a case, it will be no more than
10	one or two witnesses?
11	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	So, I'm going to tell the jury that I don't know when
14	the evidence is going to end but when it ends, there will be
15	closing arguments and the Court's instructions to the jury, so
16	that I think the probabilities are that the jury will not get
17	the case by the end of the day Friday but may get the case the
18	end of the day Monday.
19	How does that sound to the government?
20	MR. LOCKARD: That sounds fine. Thank you, your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Moskowitz, again, it's not binding.
23	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, that's fine.
24	THE COURT: I mean, I'm asking everybody, as members
25	of the bar, whether that's a reasonable thing to tell the jury?

3HKRAM4	l Gonzal	lez	_	Direct

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes, Judge. If we put on a case, I 1 2 think that that's certainly fair. 3 THE COURT: Okay, all right. That's what I'm going to tell them. And I will tell them, listen, it could be that the 4 5 evidence will close Friday morning, it may be that it doesn't close until the end of the day Friday, but these are all 6 7 estimates, but for planning purposes, they should assume and they should plan to be here on Monday, and it could be shorter 8 9 it could be longer, but that's what I'm going to tell them. 10 MR. MOSKOWITZ: That's fine, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 Let's just wait for the all-clear. Flo, if you don't 13 mind waiting for that. Thank you. 14 (Recess) 15 THE COURT: Please have your witness take the stand. 16 (Continued on next page) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Gonzalez - Direct

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Folks, I feel like I've known you forever. And you probably feel like you've been here forever. When we first got together — can you think back that far — I estimated that the trial would last about two weeks. I've conferred with counsel, and while it's an estimate — and, of course, the defendant is not obligated to put on any case or do anything in a criminal trial — I've conferred and gotten into detail with the attorneys, and it is a good-faith estimate that the case will be in your hands late on Monday. Now, Monday is a little bit more than two weeks, but keep in mind we lost a day for unforeseen reasons that were no fault of anyone.

Now, when I say estimate, could it be sooner than that? Yes; it could be that you will get it Friday. Could it be longer than that? It could be, but I am not one to over- or underestimate; you have lives, you have appointments, and you have commitments, so when I give you that as my good-faith estimate, having conferred with counsel, that's what it is. And that may mean some of you may have to adjust obligations or the like.

Now, this is what happens: At some point, the government rests. The government says it has one witness after this. The defendant is free to put on a case, but a defendant has no obligation in a criminal trial to do that. At the close of the entire evidence, then there will be the opportunity for

the attorneys to sum up, to tell you what they think the evidence shows. Again, the defendant has no obligation to sum up, or defense counsel, I should say.

Gonzalez - Direct

And then, following that, the Court has detailed instructions to give you on the law. I should tell you — I think I might have mentioned it way back when — that I will give those instructions to you orally, but I'm also going to give you a typed set of the instructions so you'll have that with you in the jury room during deliberations. Once the case is in your hands, there are no time restrictions, in the sense that if you want to come in early, you want to stay late, you want to leave early, those are things which are, within reason, in your control, and there is no time limit on the deliberation process. So, my estimate does not include the time for the jury to discuss and deliberate on the case.

So, that's what I wanted to tell you. It's been on my mind, but if I spoke sooner than I did, I realize that I ran a grave risk of being way off, so that's why you're hearing it now and not sooner. That's the way it is in trial practice.

You may continue.

MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 504.

BY MR. GUTWILLIG:

- Q. Do you recognize this, Special Agent Gonzalez?
- 25 A. Yes.

L3HKRAM4

Gonzalez - Direct

Is it a chart of defendants who were first brought to the 1 Southern District of New York? 2 3 A. Yes, sir. Have you reviewed it in preparation for your testimony 4 Q. 5 today? A. Yes, sir. 6 7 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers Government Exhibit 504. 8 9 MR. SCHULMAN: Objection, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Having heard the objection at the sidebar, it's overruled and it will be received. 11 (Government's Exhibit 504 received in evidence) 12 13 MR. GUTWILLIG: Thank you, Ms. Hurst. 14 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is a demonstrative exhibit. The exhibit is not itself evidence of 15 anything but I'm advised that it will illustrate the testimony 16 17 of this witness. So, it's the testimony that is the 18 substantive evidence. This document, Government Exhibit 504, is an illustration of that which the testimony will be. 19 20 MR. SCHULMAN: Judge, I'm sorry, Geovanny can't hear 21 the translation. 22 THE COURT: All right. We'll make share that he can. 23 (Pause) 24 THE COURT: I would ask the interpreters to translate

or interpret the instruction that I gave, that you have, I

- 1 assume, on your screen.
- 2 (Pause)
- 3 THE COURT: You may proceed.
- 4 MR. GUTWILLIG: Thank you, your Honor.
- 5 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 6 Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, directing your attention to the
- 7 | first row, was Devis Leonel Rivera Maradiaga first brought to
- 8 | the Southern District of New York when he was arrested in 2015?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- 10 \parallel Q. The row below was Juan Manuel Avila Meza first brought to
- 11 | the Southern District of New York when he was arrested in 2016?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Was Hector Emilio Fernandez-Rosa first brought to the
- 14 | Southern District of New York when he was arrested in 2015?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Was Fabio Porfiro Lobo first brought to the Southern
- 17 District of New York when he was arrested in 2015?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 \parallel Q. Was Victor Diaz-Morales, a/k/a Rojo, first brought to the
- 20 | Southern District of New York when he was arrested in 2017?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. Was Fredy Renan Najera Montoya first brought to the
- 23 | Southern District of New York when he was arrested in 2018?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. Was Alfonso Sierra-Vargas, a/k/a Renteria, first brought to

- 1 the Southern District of New York when he was arrested in 2012?
- $2 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, what is
- 4 | the Southern District of New York? It's the geographic
- 5 district in which this Court sits. It consists of Manhattan,
- 6 the Bronx, Westchester, Dutchess, Orange, Rockland, Putnam, and
- 7 | Sullivan Counties. So now you know what the Southern District
- 8 of New York consists of.
- 9 MR. GUTWILLIG: Thank you, Ms. Hurst.
- 10 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, were you involved in the
- 11 | prosecution of Juan Antonio Hernandez Alvarado?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. Do you know him by any other names?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 \parallel Q. What names?
- 16 A. Tony Hernandez.
- 17 | Q. Have you reviewed public filings in that case?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Directing your attention to May 28, 2019, was there a
- 20 | filing in the Tony Hernandez case that day?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 23 | for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 12.
- 24 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, do you recognize this document?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

1	Q. Is it a declaration along with the government's May 28						
2	public filing in the Tony Hernandez matter?						
3	A. Yes, sir.						
4	MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers						
5	Government Exhibit 12.						
6	MR. SCHULMAN: Objection, Judge.						
7	THE COURT: Basis?						
8	MR. SCHULMAN: Hearsay.						
9	THE COURT: Yes, why isn't it hearsay?						
10	MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, it's being offered to						
11	explain, for context for a later exhibit.						
12	THE COURT: What?						
13	MR. GUTWILLIG: It's not I can explain to your						
14	Honor at the sidebar, if that would be helpful.						
15	THE COURT: All right.						
16	(Continued on next page)						
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

865

1 (At the sidebar) THE COURT: Remember now, the objection is not 2 3 relevance, the objection is hearsay. Go ahead. 4 5 MR. GUTWILLIG: And, your Honor, perhaps it may make 6 sense -- the purpose of this testimony is to highlight that 7 there were in fact public filings on two days in this case, and to also refresh -- as opposed to put in that Special Agent 8 9 Gonzalez was aware of these, I can move along and not include 10 these as hearsay and just ask Special Agent Gonzalez the 11 questions. 12 THE COURT: Listen, something that would otherwise be 13 hearsay could be admissible on notice that a person became 14 aware of a filing because that has some significance. Is that 15 what you're arguing? MR. GUTWILLIG: Yes, your Honor. The significance of 16 the filing is that it first made public allegations against 17 Juan Orlando Hernandez in May 28. That's part of the 18 declaration, part of an exhibit --19 20 THE COURT: But you can't just say: 21 Are you aware of any filings that were made in this 22 case on such-and-such a date? 23 And he says: I don't remember. 24 Let me show you this document and see whether it

refreshes your recollection as to when.

25

866

1 Oh, May blah blah blah. Okay, what was filed on May blah blah blah? 2 3 Okay, there was an indictment; I don't know what the 4 document is. What did that document reveal? 5 6 Oh, was that known previously? 7 Not as far as I'm aware. You can proceed in that manner, without introducing 8 9 the declaration, which is an out-of-court statement -- offer it on notice? To whom? I mean, it shows that the world was aware 10 11 of it, but it doesn't show that the world was not aware of it 12 before it. 13 MR. GUTWILLIG: Understood, your Honor. 14 To flag one thing for the Court: In addition to these two exhibits which the government will not offer but will use 15 to refresh, there is also a third exhibit from a public filing 16 17 in this matter, and it's just an exhibit, which was a sealed exhibit to that filing, listing the coconspirators in this 18 matter. The government would offer that just for a factual 19 20 basis that these are the coconspirator, these are their names, 21 for reference, for a chat, that the government intends to offer 22 later. 23 If your Honor has the same issue with that, then we

can just use it to --

THE COURT: Well, I'm just trying to think this

Gonzalez - Direct

through. So, there is an exhibit you're going to offer, and
the exhibit is going to refer to, let's say, CC3, okay?
MR. GUTWILLIG: Correct.
THE COURT: So, you can ask the question, you know, in
these recordings, who is CC3, who are CC4? If he forgets, you
can show him the document, refresh his recollection, and then
you can offer it to illustrate his testimony on this point, so
that it's in as a demonstrative, not as a substantive.
Do you have any objection?
MR. SCHULMAN: What counsel has not articulated is
what the effect of the filing
THE COURT: What?
MR. SCHULMAN: There's not been a proffer about the
effect of the filing on anybody.
THE COURT: No, I know. That's a relevance objection.
We'll find out.
MR. SCHULMAN: Okay. But at this point, there's no
THE COURT: There's true with anything. I don't know
what the next question is and how the next question is going to
be relevant, right?
MR. SCHULMAN: Of course not, Judge.
THE COURT: So, it's going to be subject to
connection. He's going to make a point of some sort that this
is the first time the world knew about something, and we're

going to find out what the something is and how it's relevant;

J3HKRAM4	Gonzalez	_	Direct

hearsay exception, is -- okay, fair enough.

and if it's not, I'm going to strike it. MR. SCHULMAN: I mean, obviously, that's part of that

THE COURT: No, we've taken care of the hearsay. The declaration isn't coming in. Where's the hearsay?

MR. SCHULMAN: Okay, fine. Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

L3HKRAM4

24

25

Α.

Yes, sir.

- 1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: You may proceed. 3 Okay, now the mics are off. 4 (Pause) 5 THE COURT: Okay. You may inquire. 6 MR. GUTWILLIG: Thank you, your Honor. 7 BY MR. GUTWILLIG: Special Agent Gonzalez, you testified that you were aware 8 9 of a public filing in the Tony Hernandez case on May 28 of 10 2019; is that correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Did that filing reveal anything related to the 13 investigation? 14 I can rephrase. 15 A. Please. Special Agent Gonzalez, did that filing reveal anything 16 17 related to allegations against Juan Orlando Hernandez? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Was that the first time such allegations had been made 20 public? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. Directing your attention to June 12 of 2019, was there a 23 filing in the Tony Hernandez case that day?
 - Did that filing also involve related topics to the ones you

- 1 | just mentioned?
- 2 | A. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 4 for the witness what's marketed as Government Exhibit 201-201.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. Is it information from the defendant's cell phone?
- 8 | A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Is it information from a particular application on the
- 10 defendant's cell phone?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. And what application is that?
- 13 A. Waze application.
- 14 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, just
- 15 | generally, what is the Waze application used for?
- 16 A. The Waze application is used for direction and location
- 17 | when traveling, looking for a location.
- 18 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
- 19 Government Exhibit 201-201.
- 20 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 21 MR. SCHULMAN: No objection to this.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- 23 (Government's Exhibit 201-201 received in evidence)
- 24 | Q. Directing your attention to line 4, could you please read
- 25 | the date and time in the timestamp column?

- 1 A. 5/29/2019, 10:49:44 in the morning.
- 2 | Q. Directing your attention to the description column, all the
- 3 | way on the right, could you please read the first row of that?
- 4 A. "Casa Presidencial Honduras."
- 5 Q. Directing your attention to line 5, same question: Can you
- 6 please read the date in the, I believe it's the middle column
- 7 | there?
- 8 A. 5/29/2019, 10:57 a.m.
- 9 Q. And, again, on the rightmost column, what is the location
- 10 reflected there?
- 11 A. Casa Presidencial Honduras.
- 12 | Q. Now, directing your attention to line 25, could you please
- 13 | read the date there in the middle column?
- 14 A. 6/12/2019.
- 15 | Q. And all the way on the right, what location does that
- 16 | reflect in the rightmost column?
- 17 A. Casa Presidencial Honduras.
- 18 | Q. Directing your attention to line 26, same question: Middle
- 19 column, could you please read the date?
- 20 | A. June 12, 2019.
- 21 | Q. And the rightmost column, what is the location reflected
- 22 | there?
- 23 A. Casa Presidencial Honduras.
- 24 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, in this prosecution of this
- 25 defendant, has the government made public filings?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Have you reviewed certain of those public filings?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Directing your attention to January 8, 2021, did the
- 5 government make a public filing that day?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. In that filing, did the government identify certain of the
- 8 defendant's alleged coconspirators?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 11 | for the witness what's marked as Government Exhibit 935 and
- 12 | 935-T.
- 13 | Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, when the government made the filing
- 14 you just mentioned, was there also a document that identified
- 15 | the coconspirators referenced in the government's filing?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | O. That referenced the coconspirator number and the
- 18 | individual's name; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Was that filing public when it was made?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 | Q. And directing your attention now to Government Exhibits 935
- 23 | and 935-T, do you recognize these documents?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. What are they? Sorry, go ahead.

- 1 A. It's an Apple iCloud backup.
- 2 Q. Are these documents from the defendant's son's iCloud
- 3 | account?
- $4 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 5 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
- 6 Government Exhibits 935 and 935-T.
- 7 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 8 MR. SCHULMAN: Objection, Judge; hearsay.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 | (Government's Exhibit 935 and 935-T received in
- 11 | evidence)
- 12 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 13 | Q. You just testified the government made a public filing on
- 14 | January 8; is that correct?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 \parallel Q. Directing your attention to the top of 935-T, what is the
- 17 | date of these communications?
- 18 | A. January 11 through 12, 2021.
- 19 Q. Who are the participants in this communication?
- 20 A. Geovanny Daniel Gutierrez, Geo, and Krizia Murillo.
- 21 | Q. Do you know who, based on your involvement in this
- 22 | investigation, Geovanny Daniel Gutierrez, Geo, is?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Who is he?
- 25 A. One of the defendant's sons.

- Q. And based on your involvement in this investigation, do you know who Krizia Murillo is.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 \square Q. Who is that?
- 5 A. Another one of the defendant's sons using that name.
- 6 Q. Directing your attention to line 1, could you please read
- 7 | what Krizia Murillo writes?
- 8 A. CC10 Julio Barahona.
- 9 Q. Based on your review of the documents included in the
- 10 government's public filings, are you aware who CC10 was in
- 11 | those filings?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | O. Was it Julio Cesar Barahona?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Was the identity of the coconspirators made public when the
- 16 government made its filing?
- 17 | A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Directing your attention to line 7, what does Geo write in
- 19 response?
- 20 MR. SCHULMAN: Objection, Judge.
- 21 THE COURT: Basis?
- MR. SCHULMAN: Relevance.
- 23 | THE COURT: Line 10? What line number?
- MR. GUTWILLIG: I believe it was line 7, your Honor.
- THE COURT: I'll allow it.

- 1 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 2 | Q. Could you please read what's on line 7, Special Agent
- 3 | Gonzalez?
- 4 A. "I know all of them."
- 5 | Q. Directing your attention to line 9, could you please read
- 6 | that?
- 7 A. "CC1 Melvin Saberes."
- 8 Q. Was there a CC1 identified in the government's filings?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Based on your review of those filings and your involvement
- 11 | in the investigation, who is -- do you know who CC1 is?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. Who is CC1?
- 14 A. Melvin Sandrez, a/k/a Metro.
- 15 | Q. And was Melvin Sandrez, a/k/a Metro's identity as CC1 made
- 16 | public at the time of the government's filing?
- 17 | A. No, sir.
- 18 | Q. Directing your attention to line 15, could you please read
- 19 | what Geo writes?
- 20 A. "All of them are there."
- 21 | Q. Directing your attention to line 17, could you please read
- 22 | what Geo writes there?
- 23 | A. "Edgar."
- 24 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, who do you
- 25 understand "Edgar" to refer to?

- 1 A. Edgar Rios, alias Pluto.
- 2 Q. Do you know whether Pluto was listed as a coconspirator in
- 3 the government's public filings?
- $4 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 5 | Q. Was his identity made public as part of those filings?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 | Q. Directing your attention to line 19, what does Geo write?
- 8 A. "CC4 is Juancho."
- 9 Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, do you
- 10 | have an understanding of what "Juancho" refers to?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Is Juancho a nickname for someone?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Who is it a nickname for?
- 15 A. Juan Orlando Hernandez.
- 16 | Q. Directing your attention to line 21, could you please read
- 17 | what Krizia Murillo writes?
- 18 A. "So are they going to bring them back to life or what the
- 19 heck?"
- 20 Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, do you know whether Melvin Sandrez,
- 21 | a/k/a Metro, is alive?
- 22 \parallel A. No, he is not.
- 23 \parallel Q. Do you know whether Edgar Rios, a/k/a Pluto, is alive?
- 24 \square A. No, he is not.
- 25 Q. Since you arrested the defendant on March 1 of 2020, has he

- 1 been in prison?
- 2 | A. Yes, sir.
- 3 | Q. Including at the Metropolitan Correction Center?
- $4 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$

5

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. During that time, has he sent emails from prison?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Have you reviewed certain of those emails in preparation
- 8 | for your testimony today?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government would offer a stipulation at this point.
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: If called to testify -- this stipulation is marked Government Exhibit 1003.
 - If called to testify, a representative from the Bureau of Prisons would testify that: The defendant was detained at a prison operated by the Bureau of Prisons, facility 1, from March 2020 through the present.
 - In accordance with the policy of the Bureau of
 Prisons, phone calls made by inmates are recorded according to
 a unique PIN number provided to each inmate.
 - Government Exhibit 701 contains an email communication sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at facility 1 on December 26, 2020, at approximately 8:03 p.m.
- 25 Government Exhibit 702 contains an email communication

sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at 1 2 facility 1 on December 27, 2020, at approximately 10:36 p.m. Government Exhibit 703 contains an email communication 3 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at 4 facility 1 on December 28, 2020, at approximately 7:50 p.m. 5 Government Exhibit 704 contains an email communication 6 7 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at facility 1 on December 27, 2020, at approximately 7:36 p.m. 8 9 Government Exhibit 705 contains an email communication 10 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at facility 1 on December 31, 2020, at approximately 6:01 p.m. 11 Government Exhibit 706 contains an email communication 12 13 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at 14 facility 1 on January 7, 2021, at approximately 6:02 p.m. Government Exhibit 707 contains an email communication 15 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at 16 17 facility 1 on January 7, 2021, at approximately 6:05 p.m. Government Exhibit 708 contains an email communication 18 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at 19 20 facility 1 on January 7, 2021, at approximately 8:07 p.m. 21 Government Exhibit 709 contains an email communication 22 sent and/or received by the defendant while incarcerated at 23 facility 1 on January 8, 2021, at approximately 8:36 p.m. 24 Under Bureau of Prisons regulations, third-party or 25 three-way calls are not authorized.

1	It is further stipulated and agreed that this
2	stipulation, marked as Government Exhibit 1003, may be received
3	in evidence at the trial in the above-referenced matter.
4	THE COURT: All right. Were you offering it?
5	MR. GUTWILLIG: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: You're offering Government Exhibit 1003,
7	is that it?
8	MR. GUTWILLIG: Correct, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Any objection?
10	MR. SCHULMAN: No objection.
11	THE COURT: Received.
12	(Government's Exhibit 1003 received in evidence)
13	MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up,
14	for the witness, what's marked as Government Exhibit 701 and
15	701-T.
16	BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
17	Q. Does this contain an email the defendant sent or received
18	from the Metropolitan Correction Center?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, the government offers
21	Government Exhibits 701 and 701-T.
22	
	THE COURT: Any objection?
23	THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SCHULMAN: No objection, Judge.
23	MR. SCHULMAN: No objection, Judge.

- 1 | evidence)
- 2 BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- 3 Q. Directing your attention to the portion at the beginning,
- 4 | could you please read the sentence beginning "as you know,"
- 5 | through "process"?
- 6 A. "As you know, on March 8, I have court, the trial, so time
- 7 | has run out, and I need some specific information that I have
- 8 been asking you for since the beginning of this process."
- 9 0. Farther down --
- 10 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please highlight
- 11 | the portion beginning "we need to get the following urgently"
- 12 and the three items listed below it?
- 13 | Q. Focusing on the first item, could you please read the text
- 14 | next to 1?
- 15 A. "The DPI facts for Gonzalez."
- 16 | Q. Focusing on the second item, could you please read the text
- 17 | next to 2?
- 18 A. "A mechanic from Guatemala that showed up half buried in
- 19 Choloma, I think that was 2011 or '12."
- 20 | Q. Focusing on the third item, could you please read the text
- 21 | next to 3?
- 22 | A. "Facts about the thing at Cerro Negro."
- 23 Q. Now, directing your attention to the third line down on the
- 24 second page, do you see Geo and Cristian?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Do you know who Geo or Cristian is?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Who is Geo?
- 4 A. Two of the defendant's sons.
- 5 | Q. Geo and Cristian are two of the defendant's sons?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. Later down the line beginning "Cristian, on Monday" --
- 8 MR. GUTWILLIG: Could you please highlight that,
- 9 Ms. Hurst?
- 10 | Q. -- could you please read that, Special Agent Gonzalez?
- 11 A. "Cristian, on Monday, I want confirmation about whether you
- 12 | spoke to Martinez and Comanche."
- 13 | Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, do you
- 14 have an understanding of who Martinez refers to?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | 0. Who is that?
- 17 A. An Honduran police officer, Comisionado Martinez.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 19 | for the witness Government Exhibit 702 and T.
- 20 | Q. Does this contain an email the defendant sent and received
- 21 | from the MCC?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 MR. GUTWILLIG: The government offers Government
- 24 Exhibits 702 and T.
- THE COURT: Any objection?

highlighted text?

Gonzalez - Direct

1 MR. SCHULMAN: No, no objection to this document. THE COURT: Received. 2 (Government's Exhibits 702 and 702-T received in 3 4 evidence) BY MR. GUTWILLIG: 5 6 Q. Directing your attention to the second page, there is an 7 email and response to the defendant from Geovanny Gutierrez. Do you know who Geovanny Gutierrez refers to? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And who is that? One of the defendant's sons. 11 Α. 12 Could you please read the highlighted text beginning "good 13 morning." 14 "Good morning. I spoke to Salgado and Martinez now, and he says that the only way is to go to the public ministry and 15 request the documents through a petition for them to request it 16 17 from the appropriate entity that holds those documents, because otherwise they already both tried and were unable to." 18 Q. Directing your attention to the first page of this email. 19 20 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, if you would please 21 highlight the email from the defendant sent on December 27, at 22 7:34, the portion beginning "I spoke to a friend" through 23 "requested by the consulate." 24 Q. And Special Agent Gonzalez, could you please read the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- "I spoke to a friend. What we need is a certificate of removal of the body. This is for Gonzalez and a Guatemalan mechanic that showed up in Choloma, I believe in 2012, but he's telling me that in order to use it over here, it has to be requested by the consulate."
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up, for the witness, what's marked as Government Exhibits 703 and 703-T.

Your Honor, at this point, the government would offer Government Exhibits 703, 703-T, 704, 704-T, 705, 705-T, 706, 706-T, 707, 707-T, 708, 708-T, 709, and 709-T.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SCHULMAN: Not to the emails from Geovanny, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. The exhibits are received.

(Government's Exhibits 703, 703-T, 704, 704-T, 705,

705-T, 706, 706-T, 707, 707-T, 708, 708-T, 709, and 709-T

received in evidence)

MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 703-T.

BY MR. GUTWILLIG:

- Q. And directing your attention to the email on page 1 from Cristian Fuentes, dated December 26 at 3:51 p.m., could you please read the sentence beginning "Comanche."
- 24 "Comanche says he will get a reference as well and see what he can get for the Cerro thing case."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Based on your involvement in this investigation, what do you understand "the Cerro thing" to mean?
 - A. A reference to the drug lab seizure at Cerro Negro.

MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 704-T.

- Q. Directing your attention to the email dated December 26, 2020, at 3:06, could you please read the sentence beginning "yes, dad."
- A. "Yes, Dad. I am tapping Sauceda all the" time.

THE COURT: No, no, look at it again.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Dad, I am tapping Sauceda all the same."

Thank you, Judge.

- BY MR. GUTWILLIG:
- Q. Special Agent Gonzalez, based on your review of certain of these prison emails, did the defendant reference obtaining help from Honduran Government officials?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 708-T.
- Q. Directing your attention to the sentence beginning "look, son," could you please read that sentence?
- A. "Look, son, I know that people are difficult, but I need you to pressure Sauceda. If not, talk to Chincilla and see if he can request them legally."

Gonzalez - Direct

- 1 Based on your involvement in this investigation, are you aware of the Honduran Government official with the surname 2 3 Chincilla?
 - Yes, sir. Α.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Who is that? Ο.
- It's the Attorney General of Honduras. 6
 - MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 706-T.
 - Q. Directing your attention to the email from the defendant dated January 6, 2021, at 12:34 p.m., could you please read beginning question?
 - "But will they give you the letter saying that I have not been investigated? And the other one, son? The other thing is definitely imperative. We have to see how we get it issued. Gonzalez and Cerro, that is the key."
 - MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 707-T.
 - Q. And directing your attention to the email from the defendant dated January 7, 2021, at 6:05, could you please read the sentence beginning "look"?
 - "Look, that is critical for me. Without it, I am done for, in terms you can understand. Ask him if he can get a letter that says that I do not appear in any crime, please."
 - MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 705-T?

886

Special Agent Gonzalez, you've testified about the 1

- defendant's emails referencing a mechanic; is that correct? 2
- 3 A. Yes.

L3HKRAM4

- Q. During the course of reviewing certain of these emails, did 4 5 you see multiple references to a mechanic?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Q. Directing your attention to an email on December 27, 2020,
- at 10:21, could you please read what GG writes, Geovanny 8
- 9 Gutierrez writes?
- 10 "Do you happen to have the name of that mechanic? Isn't it 11 in the discovery?"
- 12 MR. GUTWILLIG: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up 13 what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 709-T.
- 14 Q. And directing your attention to the email from the defendant on January 8, 2020, at 8:36 p.m., could you please 15 read the sentence beginning "the reports about." 16
- 17 "The reports about Cerro and the mechanic Gonzalez are 18 urgent."
- 19 Q. And what was the date of that email, Special Agent 20 Gonzalez?
- 21 A. January 8, 2020.
- 22 MR. GUTWILLIG: Your Honor, may I have a moment, 23 please?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 (Pause)

- L3HKRAMA4 Gonzalez - Cross 1 MR. GUTWILLIG: No further questions, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gutwillig. 2 3 You may cross-examine, Mr. Schulman. 4 MR. SCHULMAN: Thank you. 5 May I proceed, your Honor? 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHULMAN: 8 9 Good afternoon, Agent. 0. 10 Α. Good afternoon. 11 You mentioned that you're the group supervisor of the Latin 12 American team; is that right? 13 One of the Latin American teams. Α. 14 Q. You're the group supervisor of one of the Latin American 15 teams, right? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 And as the group supervisor, obviously, you were a special 18 agent before being group supervisor, right? 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And as a group supervisor, you train your -- is it fair to
- 21 call them subordinates, the agents working under you?
- 22 Α. We don't usually use that term.
- 23 Well, what term are you comfortable with? 0.
- 24 Α. Agents.
- 25 Okay. So, you train the agents that are working with you,

- 1 | right?
- 2 A. No, I do not.
- Q. You don't train -- the agents that you're supervising, you
- 4 don't train them in best practices?
- $5 \parallel A.$ No, sir.
- Q. You don't give them suggestions about how to conduct steps
- 7 | in the work that they're taking?
- 8 A. If they come to me.
- 9 Q. So how are the responsibilities of a supervisor different
- 10 | than just being a regular special agent?
- 11 A. We have to approve reports -- we have to approve reports
- 12 | and participate in certain meetings.
- 13 | Q. So the only difference between being a special agent and
- 14 | being a group supervisor is approving reports and participating
- 15 | in certain meetings?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | O. So, please share, what other differences are there?
- 18 A. You have responsibility to oversee the investigations that
- 19 | are within your group.
- 20 | Q. And what does overseeing the investigations look like?
- 21 A. You have to review them every six months to make sure they
- 22 | contain all the necessary reports that they need and the
- 23 reports are up to date.
- 24 | Q. Do you make suggestions to the agents that are working
- 25 under you in terms of how to proceed with certain investigative

- 1 steps?
- 2 A. On occasion.
- 3 Q. Now, obviously, when you work with -- you work with
- 4 | cooperating witnesses, right?
- $5 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 6 Q. And you've been working with cooperating witnesses for a
- 7 | long time?
- 8 | A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. You're on the job now, if you will, 21 years, you
- 10 | mentioned?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. And you worked with cooperating witnesses in this case,
- 13 | right?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. And we could agree that, I think, there are best practices
- 16 | to working with cooperating witnesses; isn't that right?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. And, frankly, there are best practices to working with any
- 19 witness, cooperating or not, right?
- 20 A. I think so.
- 21 | Q. For example, it's preferred to isolate witnesses, right?
- 22 | A. What do you mean?
- 23 Q. Well, I mean, for example, you may be working on a case,
- 24 | and there's more than one cooperating witness, right?
- 25 A. Do you mean someone incarcerated?

- Q. I mean a witness that's providing the agents on the case with information.
 - A. Sometimes they work together.
- 4 | Q. No. My question is that you may be working on one
- 5 investigation, and you may have multiple different cooperating
- 6 | witnesses on that particular case?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you would agree that -- let's say, for example, there
 were five cooperating witnesses supporting the DEA.
- 10 You have cases like that?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you would agree that it's preferable that you wouldn't debrief -- withdrawn.
- Please share with the jury what it means to debrief a witness.
- 16 A. To conduct an interview of the witness of the information
 17 he or she may have.
- Q. During a debriefing session, a cooperating witness or any witness provides information to you?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, theoretically, you use that information to then go and gather additional information?
- 23 A. Sometimes.
- Q. So, you would agree with me that it's preferable to not debrief cooperating witnesses as a group, right?

1	A. Not usually.
2	Q. When you say "not usually," there are certain pressures, if
3	you will withdrawn.
4	One problem with debriefing cooperating witnesses as a
5	group is that one person's information might influence what the
6	other one is saying, right?
7	A. It depends what you're debriefing about.
8	Q. But, theoretically, if you have multiple cooperating
9	witnesses in a room together, the information that one is
10	sharing might influence the information that the other one
11	shares, right?
12	A. Again, it depends on what's being discussed.
13	(Continued on next page)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

892

L3HHRAM5

- But generally speaking, you certainly wouldn't want one 1 cooperating witness to improperly influence another cooperating 2
- 3 witness' information, right?
- Correct. 4 Α.
- 5 Obviously, you want to reach the right result. You want to
- get accurate information in these debriefing sessions, right? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- You want to rely on truthful information from the 8
- 9 cooperating witnesses that you work with, right?
- 10 Α. Yes, sir.
- 11 So it's fair to say that information that you might receive
- 12 from one cooperator or one witness could influence the
- 13 information that you receive from another, right?
- 14 A. Not necessarily.
- 15 Q. But possibly? Let's just say it's an option or it's
- something to keep on your radar, if you will? 16
- 17 Α. OK.
- 18 Let's say you go outside and you -- two witnesses observe a
- 19 car accident, right? You'd rather speak to them separately,
- 20 right?
- 21 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 23 I don't speak to anyone involved in car accidents.
- 24 The point is you want to get each person's independent
- 25 information that they observed, right?

1 Α. Yes.

L3HHRAM5

- And if one person says something, the other witness might 2 Q.
- 3 pick some of that information up, and it could influence them
- 4 consciously or subconsciously, right?
- 5 I don't know what would influence another person.
- 6 Well, if there are two cooperating witnesses in a room that
- 7 have a relationship, forget about conscious or subconscious,
- what one witness shares -- you don't know about the pressure, 8
- 9 the interpersonal dynamic between two of those witnesses,
- 10 right?
- 11 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 Q. For example, if there are two cooperating witnesses in the
- 14 room, you don't know whether one person is putting pressure on
- the other person to say certain things or not? 15
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection. 16
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 Q. Well, can we agree it's preferred to not meet with
- 19 cooperating witnesses together?
- 20 Again, it depends on the circumstance.
- 21 Well, I'm asking you for best practices, agent. Is that --
- 22 "agent" is the right term to use?
- 23 Α. That's fine.
- 24 All right. Best practices, it's preferred to not meet with
- 25 cooperating witnesses together, right?

894

L3HHRAM5

- Again, it depends on the circumstance.
- Well, in your 21 years of practice, you would agree that 2 Q.
- 3 it's unusual to put cooperating witnesses in the room together,
- 4 right?

- 5 No, I wouldn't say that's unusual.
- So it's normal protocol for you to put cooperating 6 0.
- 7 witnesses in the same room and debrief them as a group?
- It depends on the situation. 8
- 9 Q. Obviously, you want to test the credibility of the
- 10 information the cooperating witnesses share, right?
- 11 Information that they share?
- 12 Information that cooperating --
- 13 THE COURT: Rephrase your question. It's ambiguous
- and not clear. Rephrase it. 14
- 15 Q. You want to ensure that the information you're getting from
- 16 cooperating witnesses is accurate, right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. You want to make sure that the information you're getting
- from the -- withdrawn. 19
- 20 You want to make sure that the cooperating witnesses
- 21 are being honest with you, right?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Now, in this case you did multiple sessions where you put
- 24 two cooperating witnesses in the same room together, isn't that
- 25 right?

- 1 A. I don't recall.
- 2 | Q. You were working with Leo Rivera in this case, right?
- 3 \parallel A. Yes, sir, we were.
- 4 | Q. And another -- and fair to call Leo Rivera a cooperating
- 5 | witness?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. Another cooperating witness that you worked with is -- was
- 8 | his brother, right?
- 9 A. Very little, but, yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. Are you saying that his brother was not a cooperating
- 11 | witness?
- 12 A. No, I said "very little."
- 13 Q. Leo Rivera's brother was called Javier, or is called
- 14 Javier?
- THE COURT: What do you mean by "very little"? Very
- 16 | little cooperator or very little you worked with him?
- 17 | THE WITNESS: Very little that I worked with him, sir.
- 18 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 19 Next question.
- 20 BY MR. SCHULMAN:
- 21 | Q. Javier Rivera is not a very little cooperator, is he?
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Rephrase it. I was just clarifying an
- 24 ambiguous answer. Rephrase your question.
- 25 | Q. Well, Javier Rivera is a cooperator, right?

1 Α. Yes, sir.

L3HHRAM5

- It's not like little cooperators and big cooperators, 2
- 3 right? Cooperators are cooperators, right?
- 4 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: We've already established that the
- reference to "little" had to do with this witness' personal 6
- 7 involvement with the witness. It didn't have to do with the
- 8 degree of cooperation. So move on.
- 9 Q. Well, the first time --
- 10 THE COURT: If you're playing off of the witness'
- 11 answer, you're playing a dead end here.
- 12 The first time that you debriefed Leo Rivera was in
- 13 February of 2014, right?
- 14 I don't recall the exact date. Α.
- 15 Q. Well, you did debrief Leo Rivera, is that right?
- 16 Α. Yes, sir.
- 17 Well, would it refresh your recollection if I show you the
- 18 report from the first time that you debriefed Leo Rivera?
- 19 A. Perhaps.
- 20 MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, could you pull up 3501-12,
- 21 please.
- 22 Q. Special agent, please review that document, even the top
- 23 items 1 through 10, and let me know if that refreshes your
- 24 recollection about the question I just asked you.
- 25 Do you remember the answer to my question?

1 | A. Yes, I do.

7

8

- 2 | Q. The first time that you debriefed Leo Rivera was on
- 3 | February 4, 2014, is that right?
- 4 A. I'm not certain, no.
- 5 MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, could you pull up to the top 6 of that document, please.
 - THE COURT: The question is, looking at the document, does it refresh your recollection as to the date of the first interview?
- 10 | THE WITNESS: No, it does not.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. Next question.
- 12 MR. SCHULMAN: Sorry, Judge.
- Q. Well, the first time that you met with the Cachiros as a group was on February 4, 2014, is that right?
- 15 A. I do not recall.
- 16 Q. Well, why don't you look at item No. 10 that's on the
- 17 screen in front of you and let me know if that refresh your
- 18 recollection about the first time that you met with Los
- 19 Cachiros as a group.
- 20 A. It does not.
- 21 | Q. It's not on the screen right now.
- Ms. Hurst, could you go up to the top of the document
- 23 and focus on item 10. Right there. Thank you.
- 24 A. No, it does not.
- 25 | Q. That is a report that you completed, is that right?

- 1 \parallel A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Does that help you refresh your recollection about having a
- 3 | joint debriefing session with Javier and Leo Rivera?
- 4 A. Yes, it reflects that there was a debriefing of two
- 5 | individuals on that date.
- THE COURT: The document is not in evidence, and you
- 7 | can't read from it. So it doesn't matter what it reflects.
- 8 The question is what you remember. Do you remember you had a
- 9 debriefing of the two of them together?
- 10 THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
- 11 | Q. Well, how about do you recall having a debriefing with
- 12 | Javier Rivera and Leo Rivera together in March of 2014,
- 13 | approximately a month later?
- 14 | A. No, I do not.
- MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, could you please pull up
- 16 | 3501-13.
- 17 | Q. Special agent, if you could please focus on Nos. 1 through
- 18 | 10. Just review those and pick your head up when you're done.
- 19 | THE COURT: Well, you've already directed the witness'
- 20 | attention to item 10. So you can't do it twice.
- 21 MR. SCHULMAN: This is a different document, Judge.
- 22 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 23 MR. SCHULMAN: This is the next date.
- 24 THE COURT: I stand corrected. OK. Thank you. Sorry
- 25 my mistake.

L3HHRAM5

1	BY MR. SCHULMAN:
2	Q. So, agent, does this help refresh your recollection about
3	doing a joint debriefing session with Javier Rivera and Leo
4	Rivera together on March 18, 2014?
5	A. No, it does not.
6	Q. Does this help refresh your recollection about doing a
7	joint debriefing session with Javier and Leo Rivera on
8	March 21, 2014?
9	A. No, it does not.
10	Q. All right. Well, perhaps I'm going to ask you, with the
11	Court's permission, to review the rest of the document, and
12	maybe that will help refresh your recollection, agent.
13	THE COURT: Why don't you excuse me, ladies and
14	gentlemen.
15	(Continued on next page)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

900

1 (At sidebar) 2 THE COURT: Unaccustomed as I am to giving out 3 unsolicited advice, wouldn't you get where you want to be if you asked him: Do you remember having a joint debriefing 4 session with him? 5 6 MR. SCHULMAN: I thought I did that, Judge, and I 7 thought he told me no every time I ask the question. THE COURT: What you keep doing now is saying: Does 8 9 that refresh your recollection that you had a joint debriefing 10 session on March 21? And he says, no, it doesn't because it's 11 one complete question that incorporates the date. So ask him -- you might want to consider, I don't care, but you might 12 13 want to consider asking him if he remembers a joint debriefing 14 session, does he remember that it took place in the first three months of the year, something like that. You might be able to 15 move this along. All right? But you don't have to do that. 16 17 You can do it the way you're doing it. OK. 18 MR. SCHULMAN: I want to be as efficient as possible, 19 of course, Judge. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 (Continued on next page) 22 23 24

L3HHRAM5

- 1 (In open court; jurors present)
- 2 BY MR. SCHULMAN:
- 3 | Q. Agent, you were the group leader in charge of this
- 4 | investigation, is that right?
- $5 \parallel A.$ No, sir.
- 6 Q. You were one of the group leaders responsible for this --
- 7 sorry -- the group supervisor in charge of this investigation,
- 8 | right?
- 9 A. Not then, no.
- 10 \parallel Q. So when did you become the group supervisor in charge of
- 11 | the investigation?
- 12 | A. Sometime in 2020, I believe.
- 13 | Q. Well, back in 2014, you were one of the special agents
- 14 | working on the investigation, right?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. And as one of the special agents working on the
- 17 | investigation, you did joint debriefings with Javier and Leo
- 18 Rivera, right?
- 19 A. Sometimes.
- 20 | Q. Well, when was the first time that you did a joint
- 21 debriefing with Javier and Leo Rivera?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- 23 | Q. Well, what's, to the best of your recollection -- let's
- 24 | take it step -- a step back. Withdrawn.
- 25 Approximately how many joint debriefings did you do

- with Javier and Leo Rivera in connection with your
- 2 | investigation into Los Cachiros, the drug trafficking
- 3 | operations, and then obviously any other related criminal
- 4 activity?
- 5 A. I don't remember how many.
- 6 Q. Well, would it help refresh your recollection -- well,
- 7 | would you guess off the top of your head --
- 8 THE COURT: No guessing.
- 9 Q. Well, was it more than five joint debriefings together or
- 10 | less than five?
- 11 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: No, I'll allow that question.
- 13 | A. I'm not sure how many exactly they were together.
- 14 | Q. Approximately, officer. Was it more than a hundred or less
- 15 | than a hundred? We could start broad and we could narrow it
- 16 down.
- 17 A. It was less than a hundred.
- 18 | Q. Was it more than 75 joint debriefings with Los Cachiros
- 19 | together or less than 75 together?
- 20 | A. Less than 75.
- 21 | Q. More than 50; less than 50?
- 22 A. Less than 50.
- 23 | Q. More than 40; less than 40?
- 24 | A. Less than 40.
- 25 Q. More than 30; less than 30?

L3HHRAM5

- 1 | A. Less than 30.
- 2 | Q. More than 20; less than 20?
- 3 | A. Less than 20.
- 4 | Q. More than 15 or less than 15?
- 5 A. Not sure.
- 6 Q. We're getting pretty close, though?
- 7 A. Less than 15, I would say. We didn't meet very often.
- 8 | Q. More than ten or less than ten?
- 9 A. I would say less than ten.
- 10 | Q. So it's your testimony that you had joint -- your team had
- 11 | joint debriefings with Javier and Leo Rivera less than ten
- 12 | times?
- 13 A. To the best of my recollection.
- 14 Q. Was it less than five; more than five?
- 15 A. I would say it's maybe around there.
- 16 Q. So it's your testimony that you had approximately around
- 17 | five joint debriefings with Javier and Leo Rivera?
- 18 A. To the best of my recollection.
- 19 Q. All right. Does the first document that I showed you,
- 20 | 3501-12, does that help refresh your recollection about a joint
- 21 debriefing on February 4, 2014?
- 22 | A. The whole document?
- 23 | O. Sure.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- THE COURT: You've asked this question about five

- 1 different times.
- MR. SCHULMAN: OK. So could we pull up, Ms. Hurst,
- 3 3501-14 dated March 31, 2014.
- 4 | Q. Do you recall a joint debriefing with your group on
- 5 | March 31, 2014, agent?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Does this document help refresh your recollection about a
- 8 | joint debriefing between the Cachiros and members of your team
- 9 on March 31, 2014?
- 10 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 11 | THE COURT: Basis?
- 12 MR. GUTWILLIG: Asked and answered.
- 13 THE COURT: No, it's a different document.
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Apologies.
- 15 A. No, I don't see my name there.
- 16 Q. You were debriefing those Cachiros in Belize for some
- 17 | period of time, right?
- 18 A. We did, yes.
- 19 | Q. Before -- in the early stages of their cooperation, you
- 20 were meeting them in Belize, right?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. And Los Cachiros would come to Belize together, right?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. And they would meet with you and members of your team in
- 25 undisclosed locations, right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And then afterwards they were free to leave, right?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 4 | Q. And then they would go back to Honduras, right?
- 5 A. I'm not sure where they went back to, but I believe so.
- 6 Q. You weren't keeping track of where they were going during
- 7 | the time that they were cooperating with you?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. It was of no interest to you where they were going at that
- 10 | time?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. I mean, they were working under your supervision, if you
- 13 | will, at that time, right?
- 14 A. Supervision of multiple people, yes.
- 15 | Q. I mean, they were actively trying to get additional
- 16 | evidence for you and your team at that time, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall a fourth session in April -- on April 1,
- 19 | 2014, a fourth joint debriefing with members of your team and
- 20 Los Cachiros?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, could we please pull up
- 23 | 3501-15.
- 24 | Q. Special agent, do you recall a meeting in San Pedro,
- 25 | Belize, on April 1, 2014?

- 1 | A. No, sir.
- 2 | Q. Now, Los Cachiros had -- they were sharing a lawyer, right?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 4 | Q. To this day they share a lawyer, right?
- 5 A. As far as I know.
- 6 Q. He goes by the name of Rene Sottorio, something like that?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 | Q. Is that right?

And he would come to Belize for these joint debriefing sessions that members of your team had with Los Cachiros,

11 | right?

9

- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Looking at this document, does it help remember -- refresh
- 14 your recollection about the fourth joint debriefing session
- 15 | that you had with Los Cachiros?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, if you could scroll down the document.
- 19 Q. And, agent, if you could just look through that document.
- 20 Perhaps if you look at it a little closer it will help refresh
- 21 your recollection.
- 22 A. No, it does not.
- 23 | Q. OK. Do you recall a joint debriefing on -- I hate to skip
- 24 | around because I was just asking you about April 1, 2014, but
- 25 do you recall a joint debriefing on March 6, 2014, a month

- 1 | earlier than that?
- 2 | A. No, sir.
- MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, can you please pull up
- 4 3501-21.
- Q. Special agent, I'd ask you to take a look at that document and pick your head up when you're done.
- 7 Do you want to scroll through the do
 - Do you want to scroll through the document? We can ask Ms. Hurst to scroll through the document for you.
- 9 | A. OK.

- 10 MR. SCHULMAN: Thank you, Ms. Hurst.
- 11 Q. Does this help refresh your recollection about a joint
- 12 debriefing session on March 6, 2014?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. So we could agree on March 6, 2014, you had a joint
- debriefing session with you and the Los Cachiros together,
- 16 | right?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And that one on March 6, 2014, was in Honduras, right?
- 19 A. Can you scroll back up to the top, please. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. That's because sometimes you debriefed Los Cachiros in
- 21 | Honduras, right?
- 22 A. I think it was only one time.
- 23 | Q. So March 6, 2014, is the only time you debriefed the
- 24 | brothers in Honduras?
- 25 A. To my recollection.

908

- A. I'm not sure if we did it in any other country. I can't recall right now.
 - Q. Off the top of your head, are there any other countries that stick out in your mind as locations that you had debriefing sessions with Los Cachiros?

MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

- Q. Do you recall any other countries besides Honduras and Belize where you debriefed Los Cachiros?
- 12 A. We may have met them in the Caribbean on one occasion, but 13 I'm not 100 percent certain that a debriefing occurred.
- 14 Q. When you met them in the Caribbean, did you meet them as a 15 pair?
- 16 I don't recall.

L3HHRAM5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 17 Q. Did you sometimes meet them individually, or when you would 18 meet them -- Belize is a different country than Honduras,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And when you would meet them in Belize, did you meet them 22 always as a group or did you sometimes meet them one at a time,
- 23 if you will?
- 24 I'm not sure if both of them came every single time.
- 25 can't recall.

909

1 But you do recall meeting them in Honduras on March 6,

2014, right? 2

L3HHRAM5

- 3 A. Yes.
- By the way, you were a part of that debriefing session, 4 Q.
- 5 right?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 It wasn't just other members of your team; you were in that
- meeting with them, right? 8
- 9 There were other people there too. Α.
- 10 I understand. But you were physically present in the room Ο.
- 11 with them, right?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 By the way, just because one of the other members of your
- team conducted the debriefing session doesn't mean that you 14
- 15 don't review that, the report that comes out of it, right?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Q. You would agree that you try to review the reports that
- your teammates, if you will, generate, right? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. You're not -- you don't try to review the reports after
- 21 people that you're working on the project with conduct the
- 22 debriefing session?
- 23 A. Not necessarily, no.
- 24 Is it not important for you to stay up to date on the
- 25 information that's being shared with your colleagues?

- 1 A. I was at the debriefing.
- 2 Q. I understand that, but I'm saying -- I'm talking about the
- 3 | times when you're not in the room is it important to review the
- 4 | reports that your colleagues are generating?
- 5 A. You mean after they're written?
- 6 Q. I'm asking the importance of just staying up to date on the
- 7 | information that your team is acquiring.
- 8 | A. OK.
- 9 Q. I'm just asking, is that an important thing that you try to
- 10 do in these situations, in these investigations?
- 11 A. Yes, you try to.
- 12 | Q. So sometimes -- you're not at every debriefing, right?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. In fact, many of the debriefings with Los Cachiros you
- 15 | weren't present at, right?
- 16 A. I wouldn't say "many."
- 17 | Q. So you were present at most of the debriefings with Los
- 18 | Cachiros?
- 19 A. I think so.
- 20 Q. All right. When you weren't there, did you not try to
- 21 | inquire about what happened in those meetings?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Tried to inquire, right?
- 24 A. Eventually, yes.
- 25 | Q. Because you wanted to get -- you wanted to get all the

- 1 information, right?
- 2 A. Well, we all share the information, yes.
- 3 Q. So you would review the report that was generated in
- 4 connection with that debriefing session?
- 5 A. Not necessarily.
- 6 Q. So if not review the report, you might review an email that
- 7 one of your colleagues generates that summarizes it, something
- 8 | like that, right?
- 9 A. Usually a telephone call.
- 10 Q. OK. So if you don't review the report, you have a personal
- 11 | call with one of the agents that was in the room, and they
- 12 update you on what happened, right?
- MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 15 | Q. One way or another you try to get updated on what happens
- 16 | in these proffer sessions, right?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And you want to know who's in the room for these proffer
- 19 sessions, right?
- 20 A. What do you mean?
- 21 | Q. You want to know who's participating in the proffer
- 22 sessions?
- 23 | A. You mean a proffer when they're here incarcerated or --
- 24 | Q. Let's call it debriefing just to keep it clear.
- 25 Before they're formally even here, in the debriefing

- sessions with Los Cachiros, you wanted to get as much information about what happened in those meetings, right?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

5

- Q. Let's go to the next meeting because we've talked about approximately four joint debriefings so far.
- 6 OK. Do you recall a joint debriefing on April 22, 7 2014?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, could you pick up -- pull up
 10 3501-22.
- 12 Q. Special agent, please review that, and if it's necessary for Ms. Hurst to scroll down, just let us know.
- Does that help you remember conducting a joint
 debriefing session with both Cachiros brothers on April 22,
 2014?
- 16 A. I remember receiving that information, but I don't remember 17 if there was a joint debriefing session.
- Q. Do you remember being present in a joint debriefing session with Los Cachiros on April 22, 2014?
- 20 | A. No.
- Q. Do you remember being present in a joint debriefing with
 Los Cachiros the next day on April 23, 2014?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Would it help for you to review that document again and perhaps you'll -- it will help you remember that you were

- present in a joint debriefing with Los Cachiros on April 23, 2014?
- 3 MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Yes, review the document and see whether it refreshes your recollection as to whether or not you were at a meeting with Los Cachiros on April 23, 2014.
- 7 | A. No, sir.

4

5

6

8

- Q. You had a chance to review it?
- 9 | A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. So it doesn't help you remember being in the joint debriefing with them?
- 12 | MR. GUTWILLIG: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
- Q. How about a month later on May 22, 2014? Do you remember being -- do you remember there being a joint debriefing with
- 16 Los Cachiros?
- 17 | A. No.
- MR. SCHULMAN: Ms. Hurst, can you please pull up

 3501-26.
- Q. By the way, special agent, there's a form called a DEA-6, right?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And DEA-6s are reports that you generate in connection with debriefing sessions, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Among other reasons. There are multiple reasons that you might generate a DEA-6, right?
 - A. Correct.

3

11

- Q. But after a debriefing session, that's one of the times that you would generate a DEA-6, right?
- 6 A. Could be, yes.
- Q. Do you recall a joint debriefing involving you on May 22, 2014, with both Javier and Leo Rivera? And please feel free to
- 9 review that document, because perhaps it will help you
- 10 remember.
 - Do you recall --
- 12 | THE COURT: No, there's a pending question.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I didn't hear that.
- 14 THE COURT: There's a pending question.
- 15 A. Can you repeat the question, please.
- THE COURT: All right. Can you read back the question, please.
- 18 (Record read)
- 19 A. The document does refresh my recollection.
- THE COURT: No, the question is whether it refreshes
 your recollection.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: OK. Thank you.
- Ladies and gentlemen, we'll end there. Please do not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone. We'll be

```
L3HHRAM5
                                 Gonzalez - Cross
      back in action at 9:30 tomorrow morning. Have a very pleasant
1
      one. Thank you for your patience.
2
 3
                (Jury excused)
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

L3HHRAM5

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Mr. Moskowitz, I have questions about the document that you gave to Flo for my review, etc. I think it would be best if we could wait until after the government has rested because I have some questions I need to ask you.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Judge, if we may do this off the record, I can kind of explain to you the necessity for that and why I'm asking for it at this time. It's just a matter of funding.

THE COURT: Yes, but you're going to have to tell me a lot more because there was no -- I know nothing. I don't know who the person is, I don't know whether or not there were conferences on the dates referenced, and I am being asked to attest that the person appeared at a scheduled judicial proceeding or pretrial conference and is entitled to receive statutory fees and expenses. Now, I've got it. Somebody wants their money. I'm not opposed to the concept, but I need a lot more information on the record before I could make that attestation.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I'm happy to do that. I don't think it's appropriate to do this with the government.

THE COURT: Well, that's why I wanted to put it on the record under seal. I thought it would be easier to do it after the government rests.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: The only issue is timing, Judge,

```
L3HHRAM5
      because of the --
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Well, let's do it under seal now at the
 3
      sidebar.
 4
                MR. MOSKOWITZ: That's fine.
 5
               (Pages 918 through 920 SEALED)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court)

THE COURT: All right. See everybody tomorrow. One homework assignment. Think about what you think you might want in terms of your closing argument time, recognizing you can't always get what you want, but you may get what you need. So I urge you to be reasonable. The trial was not that long, and so I will be asking that question in no uncertain terms tomorrow. All right.

MR. LOCKARD: Understood.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Judge, just for scheduling purposes I think for the parties, if the defense does not put on a case, I assume the government will rest tomorrow even by lunch or maybe right after lunch, depending on how the crosses go.

THE COURT: I can read your mind. I know your question. Friday morning.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Friday morning. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: So that's what we'll do, and that way we'll get it all in in one day.

Now, Mr. Moskowitz, it may be if the government has some ridiculous length of time, I'm going to make them start on Friday. I won't make you go, but I'll make them start.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: OK.

THE COURT: Is that all right with you?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: That's perfect.

THE COURT: That's even better for you, right?

L3HHRAM5

```
1
               MR. MOSKOWITZ: That's even better.
2
               THE COURT: All right. So we'll see.
 3
               MR. MOSKOWITZ: But I will be ready.
 4
               THE COURT: We'll see how reasonable the government is
5
      in their request, unless you know already.
6
               MR. LOCKARD: I'd rather talk about it tomorrow.
 7
               THE COURT: That's a very good plan.
8
               All right. Thank you all very much. Have a pleasant
9
      evening.
               (Adjourned to March 18, 2021, at 9:30 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JOSE SANCHEZ
4	Cross By Mr. Moskowitz 767
5	Redirect By Mr. Gutwillig 787
6	JORGE MEDINA
7	Direct By Mr. Lockard 800
8	Cross By Mr. Moskowitz 825
9	Redirect By Mr. Lockard 831
10	SANDALIO GONZALEZ
11	Direct By Mr. Gutwillig 835
12	Cross By Mr. Schulman
13	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
14	Exhibit No. Received
14 15	Exhibit No. Received 305
15	305
15 16	305
15 16 17	305
15 16 17 18	305
15 16 17 18 19	305
15 16 17 18 19 20	305
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	305
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	305
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	305

```
201-10 and 201-10T . . . . . . . . . . . . 849
1
2
3
4
     119
5
     504
        6
     7
     935 and 935-T . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 873
8
     1003
         9
     701 and 701-T . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 879
     702 and 702-T . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 882
10
     703, 703-T, 704, 704-T, 705, 705-T, . . . . . 883
11
12
           706, 706-T, 707, 707-T, 708,
13
           708-T, 709, and 709-T
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```